```
IN THE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON
2
         VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF MINES, MINERALS AND ENERGY
3
                     VIRGINIA GAS AND OIL BOARD
4
5
6
7
   DECEMBER 13, 2005
9
10
11
   APPEARANCES:
12
   BOARD MEMBERS:
13 JOSE SIMON - GAS REPRESENTATIVE
   PEGGY BARBAR - PUBLIC MEMBER
   MARY QUILLEN - PUBLIC MEMBER
   DONALD RATLIFF - COAL REPRESENTATIVE
15 JAMES MCINTRYE - PUBLIC MEMBER
16 CHAIRMAN:
   BENNY WAMPLER - DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE DMME AND CHAIRMAN
17
   COUNSEL:
18 SHARON PIGEON - ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
19
   BOB WILSON - DIRECTOR OF THE DIVISION OF GAS & OIL AND
20 PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE TO THE STAFF OF THE BOARD
21
22
23
24
```

PAGE
û 133
RAWN
5
11
16
24
29
32
38
£ 132
ONT.
ONT.
56
64
87
94
97
108

~ -

1	*Approve minutes	126
2	**Discuss escrow account	126
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	BENNY WAMPLER: Good morning. I'll call the meeting to order.
2	Good morning. My name is Benny Wampler. I'm Deputy Director for the Virginia
3	Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy and Chairman of the Gas and Oil
4	Board. I'll ask the Board members to introduce themselves starting with Mr.
5	Simon.
6	JOSE SIMON: Jose Simon. I represent the gas companies.
7	PEGGY BARBAR: Peggy Barbar, member at-large.
8	SHARON PIGEON: I'm Sharon Pigeon with the office of the
9	Attorney General.
10	DONNIE RATLIFF: Donnie Ratliff representing the coal from Wise
11	County.
12	JAMES McINTRYE: Jim McIntyre member of Wise.
13	BOB WILSON: Bob Wilson. I'm the Director of the Division of Gas
14	and Oil and Principal Executive to the staff of the Board.
15	BENNY WAMPLER: Thank you. If you have cell phones or
16	anything, we'd ask you to turn those off, please. The first item on the agenda
17	today is a petition from Melvin Jack Long appealing the decision of the Director of
18	the Division of Gas and Oil to issue a permit for coalbed methane well VC-
19	536078. This is docket number VGOB-05-1018-1494. We'd ask the parties that
20	wish to address the Board in this matter to come forward at this time.
21	JIM KAISER: Mr. Chairman and Board members, Jim Kaiser and
22	George Heflin on behalf of Equitable.
23	BOB WILSON: I'm Bob Wilson. I'll be appearing as the Director of
24	

1	the Division of Gas and Oil.
2	JIM KAISER: Mr. Chairman, we'd request that this matter be pushed
3	down the docket. We are negotiating. We may be able to get it taken care of.
4	I'm going to withdraw item number two, as a matter of housekeeping. We're going
5	to move that well and refile it. I'm also going toand that will put Mr. Swartz's
6	hearings up. Then I'm also going todo you want me to go on with my
7	housekeeping?
8	BENNY WAMPLER: Yes, please.
9	JIM KAISER: I'm also going to continue item number eleven. I'd like
10	to continue that until February.
11	BENNY WAMPLER: Okay.
12	JIM KAISER: And I'm also going to continue item number twelve. I
13	want to continue that until FebruaryJanuary. Continue that one until January,
14	please.
15	BENNY WAMPLER: Okay. Those will be continued.
16	BOB WILSON: Mr. Chairman, let me clarify this.
17	BENNY WAMPLER: Mr. Wilson.
18	BOB WILSON: Item eleven, you're going to continue it until
19	February, is that right?
20	JIM KAISER: Right.
21	BENNY WAMPLER: February. Number twelve until January.
22	BOB WILSON: Okay. Thank you.
23	JIM KAISER: And two withdraw and we'll refile it for either for

1	January or February, depending on when I get the plat.
2	BENNY WAMPLER: And we're just going to delay this number one-
3	?
4	JIM KAISER: Yeah.
5	BENNY WAMPLER:until you folks have a chance to talk?
6	JIM KAISER: Right.
7	BENNY WAMPLER: Okay.
8	JIM KAISER: So, we'll push it down until after number ten, I guess.
9	BENNY WAMPLER: Well, we'll probably push it down until we've
10	finished.
11	JIM KAISER: Okay. Whatever.
12	BENNY WAMPLER: I'll put it to the end, okay. All right. The next
13	item on the agenda is a petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC for pooling of
14	coalbed methane unit A-24, docket number VGOB-05-1213-1541. We'd ask the
15	parties that wish to address the Board in this matter to come forward at this time.
16	MARK SWARTZ: Mark Swartz and Les Arrington. We're getting
17	Les.
18	BENNY WAMPLER: You folks back here, can you hear okay when
19	call the docket numbers?
20	JUDY TURNER: I would prefer that you read it just a little more
21	slowly, please. We're having a hard time hearing you.
22	BENNY WAMPLER: You might want to move over here because
23	you can hear better because you're behind me when I'm doing that and any of the
24	

1	Board members if it's	difficult to hear. This is docket number VGOB-05-1213-
2	1541. The record will	show no others. You may proceed.
3	(Leslie ł	K. Arrington is duly sworn.)
4		
5		LESLIE K. ARRINGTON
6	having been duly swo	orn, was examined and testified as follows:
7		DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	QUESTIONS BY MR.	SWARTZ:
9	Q.	Would you state your name for the record?
10	A.	Leslie K. Arrington.
11	Q.	Who do you work for?
12	A.	CNX Gas Company, LLC.
13	Q.	What do you do for them?
14	A.	I'm manager of environmental and permitting.
15	Q.	Les, did you either prepare or cause to be prepared under
16	your direction the not	ices of hearing, the applications and the exhibits that are
17	related to those notice	es that are on the docket today for CNX?
18	A.	Yes, I did.
19	Q.	Okay. Thewith regard to this particular unit A-24, is this
20	an Oakwood I unit?	
21	A.	Yes, it is.
22	Q.	How many acres?
23	A.	80.
24		

		, and the second se
2	A.	One.
3	Q.	And is theis the plan for development to drill one frac
4	well?	
5	Α.	Yes, it is.
6	Q.	Okay. And in thisin this instance, I think, the plat
7	discloses that the we	Il isthe proposed well is actually in the drilling window?
8	A.	Yes, it is.
9	Q.	Have you filed a cost estimate with the Board?
10	A.	Yes, we have. It's \$243,449.31 to a depth of 2,617 feet.
11	Q.	And it looks like you don't have a permit number yet.
12	A.	No.
13	Q.	Okay. What did you do to advise the people that are
14	respondents that ther	e would be hearing today?
15	A.	It was mailed by certified mail return receipt requested
16	November 14, 2005;	published in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph November 17,
17	2005.	
18	Q.	Okay. And did you file proofs with regard to your mailing
19	and your publication	with Mr. Wilson?
20	A.	Yes, we have.
21	Q.	And when you published, what was it that was published?
22	A.	Published the notice of hearing and the attached location
23	map.	
24		

How many wells?

Q.

1	Q.	Okay. The larger map that shows the unit in relation to
2	other units?	
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	Thewhat arewhat interests are you seeking to pool
5	here?	
6	A.	We have leased 99.9748% of the total oil and gas owner's
7	coalbed methane	claim. We're seeking to pool 0.0252% of the coal, oil and gas
8	owner's claim to c	oalbed methane.
9	Q.	Okay. For the people that you've been successfulthe
10	vast majority of the	e folks in this unit that you've been successful in leasing, what
11	have been the lea	se terms that you have offered those folks?
12	A.	For a coalbed methane lease, it's a dollar per acre per
13	year with a five ye	ar paid up term with a one-eighth production royalty.
14	Q.	Okay. And would you recommend those same terms to the
15	Board to be insert	ed in any order they might enter with regard to this pooling of
16	this unit as being	applicable to folks who might be deemed to have been leased?
17	A.	Yes, we would.
18	Q.	Okay. The list of folks that you're seeking to pool, the
19	respondent, are th	ney listed in the two section of the notice of hearing?
20	A.	Yes, they are.
21	Q.	Are they also listed in Exhibit B-3?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	Okay. Inwith regard to this particular unit, there is no
24		

1	escrow requirement?	
2	A.	No, there is not.
3	Q.	Okay. And thesince this is an Oakwood unit, the
4	development plan is f	rom the Tiller on down, correct?
5	A.	Yes, it is.
6	Q.	Withlastly, with regard to this unit, just in summary, is it
7	your opinion that drilli	ng one frac well in the drilling window of this unit is a
8	reasonable plan to de	evelop the coalbed methane under this unit?
9	A.	Yes, it is.
10	Q.	And is it your opinion that if you combine the leasing efforts
11	that the applicant has	has undertaken and obtained with a pooling order issued
12	by the Board that the	correlative rights of everyone, all owners and all claimants,
13	would be protected?	
14	A.	Yes, they are.
15	Q.	Do you want to add any respondents today?
16	A.	No.
17	Q.	Do you want to dismiss any?
18	A.	No.
19	Q.	Is there a request that the applicant also be appointed the
20	designated operator?	
21	A.	Yes, it is.
22	Q.	And is the applicant and proposed designated operator a
23	Virginia Limited Liabil	ity company?
24		

2		Q.	Okay. Isis it authorized to do business in Virginia?
3		A.	Yes, it is.
4		Q.	Isis CNX Gas Company, LLC an indirect subsidiary of
5	Consol Energ	y, Inc.?	
6		A.	Yes.
7		Q.	Okay. Still is?
8		A.	Still.
9		Q.	Okay. Is CNX Gas Company, LLC registered with the
10	DMME and do	oes it hav	ve a blanket bond on file?
11		A.	Yes, it does.
12		MARK S	WARTZ: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
13		BENNY \	WAMPLER: Questions from members of the Board?
14		(No audil	ole response.)
15		DONNIE	RATLIFF: Move to approve, Mr. Chairman.
16		BENNY \	WAMPLER: Motion to approve.
17		JOSE SII	MON: Second.
18		BENNY \	WAMPLER: Second. Any further discussion?
19		(No audil	ole response.)
20		BENNY \	WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
21		(All Boar	d members signify by saying yes.)
22		BENNY \	WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
23		(No audil	ole response.)
24			

A.

Yes.

1	BENNY WAMPLER: You have approval.
2	MARY QUILLEN: I'm sorry. I was tied up.
3	BENNY WAMPLER: Okay. State your name for the record so we'll
4	have that.
5	MARY QUILLEN: Mary Quillen.
6	BENNY WAMPLER: The next item on the Board agenda is a
7	petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC for pooling of coalbed methane unit AY-98.
8	This is docket number VGOB-05-1213-1542. We'd ask the parties that wish to
9	address the Board in this matter to come forward at this time.
10	MARK SWARTZ: Mark Swartz and Les Arrington.
11	BENNY WAMPLER: The record will show no others. You may
12	proceed.
13	MARK SWARTZ: Mr. Chairman, I would like to incorporate Les
14	Arrington's testimony from the prior hearing with regard to the applicant, the
15	operator, the proposed lease terms and his opinion testimony.
16	BENNY WAMPLER: That will be incorporated.
17	MARK SWARTZ: Thank you.
18	
19	LESLIE K. ARRINGTON
20	<u>DIRECT EXAMINATION</u>
21	QUESTIONS BY MR. SWARTZ:
22	Q. Les, you need to state your name again.
23	A. Leslie K. Arrington. I
24	

1		Q.	I'll remind you that you're still under oath.
2		A.	Yes.
3		Q.	This unit AY-98 is what kind of unit.
4		A.	Nora.
5		Q.	And it's being pooled under the Nora rules, correct?
6		A.	Yes.
7		Q.	How many acres?
8		A.	58.78.
9		Q.	And what's the development plan? How many wells?
10		A.	One, I'm sorry.
11		Q.	Okay. And is this well going to be located in the drilling
12	window?		
13		A.	Yes, it is.
13 14		A. Q.	Yes, it is. Okay. Is it going to be frac well?
14		Q.	Okay. Is it going to be frac well?
14 15	well?	Q. A.	Okay. Is it going to be frac well? Yes.
141516	well?	Q. A.	Okay. Is it going to be frac well? Yes.
14151617	well?	Q. A. Q.	Okay. Is it going to be frac well? Yes. Have you provided the Board with a cost estimate for this
14 15 16 17 18	well?	Q. A. Q.	Okay. Is it going to be frac well? Yes. Have you provided the Board with a cost estimate for this Yes. \$241,612.30 to a depth of 2,578 feet.
141516171819	well?	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Okay. Is it going to be frac well? Yes. Have you provided the Board with a cost estimate for this Yes. \$241,612.30 to a depth of 2,578 feet. It looks like you've got a permit for this well.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	well?	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Okay. Is it going to be frac well? Yes. Have you provided the Board with a cost estimate for this Yes. \$241,612.30 to a depth of 2,578 feet. It looks like you've got a permit for this well. Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	well?	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Okay. Is it going to be frac well? Yes. Have you provided the Board with a cost estimate for this Yes. \$241,612.30 to a depth of 2,578 feet. It looks like you've got a permit for this well. Yes. Which is?

in the notice of hearing and in Exhibit B-3 to the application? 2 3 Α. Yes, we have. Q. Do you want to add or subtract any respondents today? 4 Α. No. 5 6 Q. Okay. So, that's the list that we need? 7 Α. Correct. 8 Q. What is...could you tell the Board what the acreage and 9 interest that you've acquired are and then what...what you're seeking to pool? 10 A. Yes. We have leased 100% of the coal owner's claim to 11 coalbed methane. We've leased 99.9578% of the oil and gas owner's claim to 12 coalbed methane. We're seeking to pool 0.0422% of the oil and gas owner's 13 claim to coalbed methane. 14 Q. Okay. The...what did you do to tell the respondents and 15 other people who might be interested that there was going to be a hearing today? A. 16 We published in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on November 17, 2005 and we mailed by certified mail return receipt requested 17 November 14, 2005. 18 19 Q. And have you filed proofs with regard to both publication 20 and mailing with Mr. Wilson? 21 Α. Yes, we have. And when you published, what did you publish? 22 Q. 23 A. We published the notice of hearing and the attached

Have you identified the respondents or the people that you're seeking to pool both

1	location map.	
2	Q.	And you filed or included with the application is an Exhibit
3	E?	
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	And that address is escrow requirements?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	And the escrow requirements are just straight up conflicts
8	in Tracts 1 and 3?	
9	A.	Yes, it is.
10	Q.	Okay. And do you have some split agreements?
11	A.	Yes, Tract 3.
12	Q.	And you filed an Exhibit EE with regard to that?
13	A.	Yes, we have.
14	Q.	And is it your request that in the event the Board pools this
15	unit, that the poolin	g order allow the operator to pay the folks listed in Exhibit EE
16	directly rather than	escrowing their funds and that any payments made to them
17	would be consisten	t with their written split agreement?
18	A.	Yes, sir.
19	MARI	K SWARTZ: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
20	<u>BENN</u>	IY WAMPLER: Questions from members of the Board?
21	(No a	udible response.)
22	<u>BENN</u>	IY WAMPLER: Is there a motion?
23	JAME	S McINTRYE: Motion to approve.
24		

1	DONNIE RATLIFF AND PEGGY BARBAR : Second.
2	BENNY WAMPLER: Motion to approve and second. Any further
3	discussion?
4	(No audible response.)
5	BENNY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
6	(All Board members signify by saying yes.)
7	BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
8	(No audible response.)
9	BENNY WAMPLER: You have approval. The next item on the
10	agenda is a petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC for pooling of coalbed methane
11	unit BA-119. This is docket number VGOB-05-1213-1543. We'd ask the parties
12	that wish to address the Board in this matter to come forward at this time.
13	MARK SWARTZ: Mark Swartz and Les Arrington.
14	BENNY WAMPLER: The record will show no others. You may
15	proceed.
16	LESLIE K. ARRINGTON: Anita is passing out a collection of revised
17	exhibits. So, you can probably with regard to, you know, who's being pooled,
18	Exhibit B-3, look at the revised stuff that you just received. There's an Exhibit B,
19	just to refresh everybody's memory. B-2 addresses the situation wherenormally
20	where we're dismissing people. There are a number of people here listed in
21	Exhibit B-2. The reason is reportedI think when we get to that exhibit, you'll see
22	that there has been a significant amount of leasing, which iswhich is allowing us
23	to dismiss a bunch of respondents. Of course, when you add and subtract people
24	

1	from a unit, the r	revised l	Exhibit A, page two, the percentages change.	So, it will
2	be a slightly diffe	erent pe	rcentage. So, if you're looking at who is a resp	ondent
3	and who is being	g droppe	ed and what is the relevant percentage in terms	s of what's
4	being pooled an	ıd what v	ve've acquired, the revised exhibits are what y	ou need to
5	look at.			
6				
7			LESLIE K. ARRINGTON	
8			DIRECT EXAMINATION	
9	QUESTIONS BY	<u>Y MR. S\</u>	WARTZ:	
10	Q.	L	es, you need to state your name for us, again.	
11	A.	L	eslie K. Arrington.	
12	Q.	V	Vho do you work for?	
13	A.	C	NX Gas Company, LLC.	
14	<u>M</u> 2	ARK SW	ARTZ: Mr. Chairman, I would request that Les	s testimony
15	from the first hea	aring too	lay with regard to the applicant, the operator, I	ease terms
16	and his opinion	testimon	y be incorporated.	
17	BE	NNY W	AMPLER: That will be incorporated.	
18	Q.	N	low, this is a Middle Ridge unit?	
19	A.	Y	es, it is.	
20	Q.	H	low many acres?	
21	A.	5	8.74.	
22	Q.	Н	low many wells?	
23	A.	C	ne.	

2		A.	Yes.
3		Q.	Is it permitted?
4		A.	Yes, it is.
5		Q.	What's the number?
6		A.	66747.
7		Q.	And have you provided the Board with a cost estimate?
8		A.	Yes. \$266,574.30 to a depth of 2,429.69.
9		Q.	Okay. We've got a number of respondents here and when
10	this was origi	nally filed	there were a large number of people listed in the notice,
11	correct?		
12		A.	Yes, it was.
13		Q.	And those folks were also listed as respondents in Exhibit
14	B-3?		
15		A.	They were.
16		Q.	Since you got out the notice of hearing andand your
17	mailing and s	o forth, h	ave you been able to lease some additional folks?
18		A.	We have.
19		Q.	Okay. And have you identified those people in the revised
20	exhibits?		
21		A.	Revised Exhibit B-2.
22		Q.	Okay. Let'slet's
23		A.	Or it's Exhibit B-3.
24			

And this one happens to be outside the window?

1

Q.

2	revised exhibits right t	pehind the mailing and publication?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	of documents?
5	A.	Yes, it does.
6	Q.	Okay. And it goes on for 25 pages?
7	A.	It does.
8	Q.	And does it list folks that you are requesting that the Board
9	dismiss as responden	ts?
10	A.	Yes, it is.
11	Q.	And what is the reason?
12	A.	They have been leased.
13	Q.	Okay. So, these folks in Exhibit B-2 were listed originally
14	in B-3?	
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	when it was filed?
17	A.	Correct.
18	Q.	Okay. And if the Board were to enter an order, would it be
19	your request that the f	folks identified in B-2 be dismissed as respondents?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	Do you want to add anybody to that?
22	A.	No.
23	Q.	Okay. Whenwhat did you do to let the original list of
24		

Right. Let's find that. And that exhibit appears in the

Q.

- 1 folks of people in the notice of hearing and in the original B-3 know that there was
- 2 going to be a hearing today?
- A. It was mailed by certified mail return receipt requested on
- 4 November 16, 2005 and published in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on November
- 5 18, 2005.
- 6 Q. Okay. And...and in this event you've actually provided
- 7 copies of the due diligence affidavit and the mailing information and the
- 8 certificates with regard to publication to not only Mr. Wilson, but also to the Board
- 9 members?
- 10 A. We did.
- Q. Okay. And when you published, we can see what you
- 12 published in this example. You've got the notice of hearing and the map.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And then the certificate of publication is something that
- 15 comes back from the newspaper?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. Looking at the revised Exhibit B-3, would it be fair to
- say that the difference between the original B-3 and the revised B-3 is that you've
- 19 simply subtracted the people that you've obtained leases from?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Okay. Then let's go...let's continue on and let's look at
- 22 Exhibit...revised exhibit...I guess it's the last page of these revised exhibits A,
- 23 page two. Could you indicate to the Board where you stand now in terms of what

- 1 interest you've been able to acquire and what interest you're seeking to pool?
- A. Yes, we have leased 96.60449% of the coal owner's claim
- 3 to coalbed methane and 93.41755% of the oil and gas owner's claim to coalbed
- 4 methane. We're seeking to pool 3.39551% of the coal owner's claim to coalbed
- 5 methane and 6.58245% of the oil and gas owner's claim to coalbed methane.
- 6 Q. The escrow is somewhat...well, we've got every problem,
- 7 right?
- 8 A. Yes, we do.
- 9 Q. We have an escrow requirement because there are folks
- 10 that you don't have addresses for or haven't been able to identify, right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And the tracts that need to be escrowed for unknowns are
- 13 which tracts?
- 14 A. I believe it's 11, 13,13B, 13C, 13D, 14 and 15.
- 15 Q. Okay. I haven't been able to review or didn't have a
- 16 chance to review the revised exhibits. But when I looked at the original exhibits, I
- was finding unknowns in 1C and 8 and 9. Let's...let's look at that to make sure
- we've got it right here. So, would you agree with me that there's an escrow
- 19 requirement for unknowns with regard to Tract 8?
- A. There is 8.
- Q. And also with regard to 9?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then if we got to the coal, I think there's a

- 1 requirement with regard to 1C. Let's look at that. 1C...I'm sorry, oil and gas is
- 2 actually 42 and 48. 1C and we've got on page 43 of 68...I'm sorry, you've got
- 3 some additional unknowns.
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. So, in addition to the ones that you supplied, we need to
- 6 add from an unknown escrow requirement standpoint or an unlocateable
- 7 standpoint, 1C, 8 and 9?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And then we've got some title issues which would
- 10 require escrow because we've got some indeterminate title where both people are
- 11 claiming an interest?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what are the tracts in which we have a title
- 14 issue?
- 15 A. I believe a title issue is 11, 14 and 15.
- 16 Q. That's the same that I have, okay. Then you have also
- 17 filed an Exhibit E, which would just...well, which would address all of the escrow
- 18 requirements, but in addition to the ones that we've already discussed the
- 19 traditional conflict situation.
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And what...what tracts does your Exhibit E indicate require

- 22 escrow for that reason as well?
- 23 A. Okay. 1C, 11, 13, 13B, 13C, 13D, 14 and 15.

1	Q.	Okay, I'm in agreement with that one as well. Okay, now
2	do we have some spli	t agreements?
3	A.	Yes, we do.
4	Q.	And have you filedwhen you filed the original application
5	an Exhibit EE whereir	you listed the tracts affected by split agreements?
6	A.	Yes, we did.
7	Q.	And what tracts would those be?
8	A.	1B, 1C, 1E, 2A, 2B and 2C.
9	Q.	Now, are you asking the Board in the event that they would
10	enter a pooling order	that they allow the operator to pay the folks identified in
11	Exhibit EE directly rat	her than escrowing their funds and to pay them consistent
12	with their written split	agreement?
13	A.	Yes, we are.
14	MARK S	WARTZ: Okay. I think that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
15	BENNY	WAMPLER: The EE agreement, did any of the changes in
16	that are in the revised	exhibit have any impact on the original EE because we
17	don't have a revised E	EE?
18	LESLIE	K. ARRINGTON: I don't believe so.
19	BENNY	WAMPLER: Questions from members of the Board?
20	(No aud	ible response.)
21	BENNY	WAMPLER: Is there a motion?
22	<u>JAMES</u>	McINTRYE: Motion to approve.
23	JOSE S	IMON: Second.
24		

1	BENNY WAMPLER: Second. Any further discussion?
2	(No audible response.)
3	BENNY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
4	(All Board members signify by saying yes.)
5	BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
6	(No audible response.)
7	BENNY WAMPLER: You have approval. The next item on the
8	agenda is a petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC for pooling of coalbed methane
9	unit BA-124. This is docket number VGOB-05-1213-1544. We'd ask the parties
10	that wish to address the Board in this matter to come forward at this time.
11	MARK SWARTZ: Mark Swartz and Les Arrington.
12	BENNY WAMPLER: Let the record show no others. You may
13	proceed.
14	
15	LESLIE K. ARRINGTON
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	QUESTIONS BY MR. ARRINGTON:
18	Q. Les, you need to state your name for us.
19	A. Leslie K. Arrington. I'm manager of environmental and
20	permitting, CNX Gas Company, LLC.
21	MARK SWARTZ: Mr. Chairman, I would ask that his testimony from
22	the first docket item that affected CNX concerning the applicant, the operator,
23	recommended lease terms and his opinion testimony be incorporated in this as
24	

1	well.		
2		BENNY	WAMPLER: It will be incorporated.
3		MARK S	SWARTZ: Thank you.
4		Q.	Mr. Arrington, what did you do to identify people that we
5	were going t	o have a	hearing today with regard to BA-124?
6		A.	This was mailed certified mail return receipt requested
7	November 1	6, 2005 a	nd published in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on November
8	18, 2005.		
9		Q.	And have you filed proofs with regard to mailing and with
10	regard to pu	blication	with Mr. Wilson?
11		A.	Yes, we have.
12		Q.	Andand when the notice was published, what was
13	published?		
14		A.	The notice of hearing and attached location map.
15		Q.	Okay. What kind of a unit is this or what field ruled are we
16	talking abou	t here?	
17		A.	It's a Middle Ridge unit. It's 58.74 acres.
18		Q.	How many wells are contemplated?
19		A.	One.
20		Q.	Is it in the drilling window?
21		A.	Yes, it is.
22		Q.	Okay. Has the well been drilled?
23		A.	No.
24			

2 Α. No. 3 Q. What's the projected depth? 4 Α. 2,651 feet at a cost of \$244,844.15. 5 Q. Okay. Would you tell the Board what interest you've been 6 able to acquire in this unit and what interest remain outstanding that need to be 7 pooled? 8 Α. Yes. We have 91.992% of the coal owner's claim to 9 coalbed methane leased and 64.6023% of the oil and gas owner's claim to coalbed methane. We're seeking to pool 8.008% of the coal owner's claim to 10 coalbed methane and 35.3977% of the oil and gas owner's claim to coalbed 11 12 methane. 13 Q. Have you filed an Exhibit E with regard to escrow 14 requirements? We did. 15 Α. 16 Q. Okay. And just in terms of conflicts what...what are the 17 tracts that require escrow? 1E, 1F, 2A, 2C, 2D, 3, 4 and 5. 18 Α. Okay. And we've got some unknowns or unlocateables? 19 Q. 20 Α. 2D and 5. 21 Q. Correct. And then have some fo these folks in this unit been able to enter into split agreements? 22 23 A. Yes, 2A and 2B.

And you don't have a permit number?

1

24

Q.

1	Q.	And you've got an Exhibit EE with regard to that?
2	A.	Yes, we do.
3	Q.	And are you requesting that the Board, if it enters an order
4	with regard tot hi	s particular unit, that it allow the designated operator to pay
5	those folks direct	y?
6	A.	Yes, we are.
7	Q.	Okay. Do you want to add any respondents or subtract
8	any respondents	today?
9	A.	No.
10	Q.	Okay. And when youand when you mailed notice, did
11	you mail to the po	eople listed to the extent that you had addresses to the people
12	listed in the notic	e of hearing and B-3?
13	A.	Yes, we did.
14	MA	RK SWARTZ: Mr. Chairman, that's all I have on this one.
15	<u>BEI</u>	NY WAMPLER: Questions from members of the Board?
16	(No	audible response.)
17	BEI	INY WAMPLER: Is there a motion?
18	<u>JOS</u>	SE SIMON: Motion to approve.
19	<u>JAN</u>	MES McINTRYE: Second.
20	<u>BEI</u>	NNY WAMPLER: Motion to approve and a second. Any further
21	discussion?	
22	(No	audible response.)
23	<u>BEI</u>	NY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
24		

1	(All Board members signify by saying yes.)
2	BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
3	(No audible response.)
4	BENNY WAMPLER: You have approval. The next item on the
5	agenda is a petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC for pooling of coalbed methane
6	unit BG-119. This is docket number VGOB-05-1213-1545. We'd ask the parties
7	that wish to address the Board in this matter to come forward at this time.
8	MARK SWARTZ: Mark Swartz and Les Arrington.
9	BENNY WAMPLER: The record will show no others. You may
10	proceed.
11	
12	LESLIE K. ARRINGTON
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	QUESTIONS BY MR. SWARTZ:
15	Q. You need to state your name, again.
16	A. Leslie K. Arrington. I'm manager of environmental and
17	permitting for CNX Gas Company, LLC.
18	MARK SWARTZ: Mr. Chairman, I would request that we be allowed
19	to incorporate Mr. Arrington's testimony from the first noticed hearing today for
20	CNX with regard to the applicant, the operator, proposed lease terms and his
21	opinion testimony.
22	BENNY WAMPLER: That will be incorporated.
23	MARK SWARTZ: Thank you.
24	

1	Q.	Mr. Arrington, have you listed the respondents in the notice
2	of hearing and Exhibi	t B-3?
3	A.	Yes, we did.
4	Q.	What did you do to tell them that there was going to be
5	hearing today?	
6	A.	We mailed by certified mail return receipt requested on
7	November 14, 2005 a	and published in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on November
8	19, 2005.	
9	Q.	Have you filed proofs in that regard with regard to mailing
10	and publication with N	лг. Wilson?
11	A.	Yes, we did.
12	Q.	And when you published, what did you publish?
13	A.	The notice of hearing and a location map.
14	Q.	What field rules is this unit being pooled under?
15	A.	Middle Ridge and it's a 58.74 acre unit.
16	Q.	And how many wells are proposed?
17	A.	One.
18	Q.	And where is it located in relation to the window?
19	A.	Within thewithin the window.
20	Q.	Okay. Have you provided a well cost estimate?
21	A.	Yes. It's \$241,784.12 to a depth of 2584 feet.
22	Q.	Okay. Do you want to add any respondents or subtract
23	any respondents toda	ny?

2		Q.	Okay. Would you tell the Board what you've been able to
3	acquire, wha	at interest	you've been able to acquire and what it is you're seeking to
4	pool?		
5		A.	Yes. We've leased 100% of the coal owner's claim to
6	coalbed met	hane and	84.4821% of the oil and gas owner's claim to coalbed
7	methane. W	/e're seel	king to pool 17.5179% of the oil and
8		JOSE S	IMON: It should be 82 instead of 84%.
9		A.	Okay. Yeah. 17.5179% of the oil and gas owner's claim to
10	coalbed met	hane.	
11		Q.	You've got it right on A, page two.
12		A.	Yes.
13		Q.	You just had it wrong on your spreadsheet, right?
14		A.	On the spreadsheet, yes.
15		Q.	Okay. Is there an escrow requirement for addresses
16	unknown?		
17		A.	Tract 4.
18		Q.	And is there a traditional Exhibit E conflicts requirement?
19		A.	Yes, Tract 4.
20		Q.	Okay. And you have no split agreements?
21		A.	No.
22		MARK S	SWARTZ: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
23		<u>BENNY</u>	WAMPLER: Questions from members of the Board?
24			

A.

No.

1	(No audible response.)		
2	BENNY WAMPLER: Is there a motion?		
3	JAMES McINTRYE: So moved.		
4	DONNIE RATLIFF: Second, Mr. Chairman.		
5	BENNY WAMPLER: Motion to approve and second. Any further		
6	discussion?		
7	(No audible response.)		
8	BENNY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.		
9	(All Board members signify by saying yes.)		
10	BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.		
11	(No audible response.)		
12	BENNY WAMPLER: You have approval. The next item on the		
13	agenda is a petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC for pooling of coalbed methane		
14	unit BH-108. This is docket number VGOB-05-1213-1546. We'd ask the parties		
15	that wish to address the Board in this matter to come forward at this time.		
16	MARK SWARTZ: Mark Swartz and Les Arrington.		
17	BENNY WAMPLER: The record will show no others. You may		
18	proceed.		
19	MARK SWARTZ: You'll notice that we've got some revised exhibits		
20	here again. It's the same as we saw the last time. We've leased a bunch of		
21	people since it was noticed. There is an Exhibit B-2 that lists those folks. I think		
22	it's on the order of seven pages or so. Then there would necessarily be a revised		
23	Exhibit B-3 for respondents for the people being pooled and the percentages at		
24			

1 issue have changed and there's a revised Exhibit A, page two.

1		LESLIE K. ARRINGTON		
2		DIRECT EXAMINATION		
3	QUESTIONS BY MR	. SWARTZ:		
4	Q.	Les, you need to state your name again.		
5	A.	Leslie K. Arrington.		
6	Q.	And who do you work for?		
7	Α.	CNX Gas Company, LLC.		
8	MARK S	SWARTZ: Okay. And, Mr. Chairman, I would like		
9	incorporate his testimony from the first hearing that he testified in today with			
10	regard to the applicant, the operator, lease terms and his opinion testimony.			
11	BENNY WAMPLER: That will be incorporated.			
12	MARK S	MARK SWARTZ: Thank you.		
13	Q.	Mr. Arrington, have you listed thewhen you filed this, did		
14	you list all of the resp	ondents that youall of the respondents in the notice of		
15	hearing and in Exhibit B-3?			
16	A.	Yes, we did.		
17	Q.	And did you mail to all of the folks on that list that you had		
18	addresses for?			
19	Α.	Yes, we did.		
20	Q.	And did you also publish?		
21	Α.	Yes, we did.		
22	Q.	Okay. Tell us when you did those two things.		
23	A.	We mailed by certified mail return receipt requested		
24				

	,	,
2	2005.	
3	Q.	And have you filed proofs with regard to both mailing and
4	publication with Mr.	Wilson?
5	A.	Yes, we have.
6	Q.	And when you published, what was it that you published?
7	A.	The notice of hearing and the attached location map.
8	Q.	Okay. What field rules are we concerned with here?
9	A.	This is a Middle Ridge unit with 58.74 acres.
10	Q.	How many wells?
11	A.	One.
12	Q.	And this one is in the window as well, isn't it?
13	A.	Yes, it is.
14	Q.	Okay. Do you want to add any respondents today?
15	A.	No.
16	Q.	Do you want to dismiss some?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Okay. And have you listed the folks that you want to
19	dismiss in the Exhib	oit B-2 that you've submitted today?
20	A.	Yes, we did.
21	Q.	And that'sthat's seven pages?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	And what's the reason for dismissal?

1 November 14, 2005 and published in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph November 19,

1	A.	Those interests have been leased?
2	Q.	Okay. And then do you havehave you submitted a
3	revised Exhibit B-3 co	onsisting of nine pages?
4	A.	Yes, we have.
5	Q.	And what's the difference between the original B-3 and the
6	revised B-3?	
7	A.	It's taking out the leased interest shown on B-2?
8	Q.	Okay. And then the last page of the revised exhibits is a
9	revised Exhibit A, pag	ge two?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	And would you tell the Board where we stand now in terms
12	of what you've been able to lease and acquire and what you need to pool?	
13	A.	Yes, we have leased 90.1703% of the coal, oil and gas
14	owner's claim to coall	oed methane. We're seeking to pool 9.8297% of the coal, oil
15	and gas owner's claim to coalbed methane.	
16	Q.	Have you provided the Board with a cost estimate?
17	A.	Yesyes, we did. It's \$251,779.97 to a depth of 2,925
18	feet. The permit num	ber is 6895.
19	Q.	Okay. Is this well drilled?
20	A.	No.
21	Q.	Okay. Just permitted but not drilled yet?
22	A.	Correct.
23	Q.	There is an Exhibit E, I believe, that you've attached?
24		

1	A.	Yes for Tract C.	
2	Q.	Okay. And that's a traditional conflict situation and also	
3	there are a bunch of unknown addresses in that?		
4	Α.	That's correct.	
5	Q.	Okay. There's no split agreement, correct?	
6	A.	No.	
7	Q.	So, we don't have to deal with an Exhibit EE?	
8	A.	Correct.	
9	MARK S	SWARTZ: Mr. Chairman, that's all I have on this one.	
10	<u>BENNY</u>	WAMPLER: Questions from members of the Board?	
11	DONNII	E RATLIFF: Mr. Chairman.	
12	<u>BENNY</u>	WAMPLER: Mr. Ratliff.	
13	DONNII	E RATLIFF: On the surface, there appears to be dwellings or	
14	buildings on 1B, 1C and 1D. Those aren't occupied or those are unknown surface		
15	tracts? But the plat shows that there's some type of dwelling there.		
16	<u>LESLIE</u>	K. ARRINGTON: Yes. We're not disturbing them. We're	
17	up on a ridge top way	above them. So, that's the reason we don't identify those.	
18	DONNII	E RATLIFF: You didn'tokay.	
19	BENNY	WAMPLER: Other questions?	
20	(No aud	lible response.)	
21	BENNY	WAMPLER: Is there a motion?	
22	DONNII	E RATLIFF: I move to approve, Mr. Chairman.	
23	BENNY	WAMPLER: Motion to approve.	
24			

1		<u>JAMES</u>	McINTRYE: Second.
2		<u>BENNY</u>	WAMPLER: Second. Any further discussion?
3		(No aud	dible response.)
4		<u>BENNY</u>	WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
5		(All Boa	ard members signify by saying yes.)
6		BENNY	WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
7		(No aud	dible response.)
8		<u>BENNY</u>	WAMPLER: You have approval. The next item on the
9	agenda is a	petition f	rom CNX Gas Company, LLC for pooling of coalbed methane
10	unit BK-115.	This is	docket number VGOB-05-1213-1547. We'd ask the parties
11	that wish to	address	the Board in this matter to come forward at this time.
12		MARK :	SWARTZ: Mark Swartz and Les Arrington.
13		BENNY	WAMPLER: The record will show no others. You may
14	proceed.		
15			
16			LESLIE K. ARRINGTON
17			DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	QUESTIONS	S BY MR	. SWARTZ:
19		Q.	Les, you need to state your name for us again.
20		A.	Leslie K. Arrington.
21		Q.	Who do you work for and what do you do?
22		A.	CNX Gas Company, LLC, manager of environmental and
23	permitting.		
24			

1	DEININT	WAMPLEK. Mr. Chairman, I would like to incorporate Mr.
2	Arrington's testimony	from the first CNX hearing on the docket today with regard
3	to the applicant, the	operator, recommended lease terms and his opinion
4	testimony, if I could.	
5	BENNY	WAMPLER: That will be incorporated.
6	MARK S	SWARTZ: Thank you.
7	Q.	Les, with regard to BK-115, have you listed or did you list
8	when you sent this ou	ut and prepared this all of the respondents on both the notice
9	of hearing and the ini	tial Exhibit B-3?
10	A.	We did.
11	Q.	And what did you do to let those people know there was
12	going to be a hearing	today?
13	A.	It was mailed by certified mail return receipt requested
14	November 16, 2005 a	and published in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on November
15	17, 2005.	
16	Q.	Have filed your proofs in that regard with Mr. Wilson?
17	A.	Yes, we have.
18	Q.	When you published, what did you publish?
19	A.	The notice of hearing and the attached location map.
20	Q.	Okay. Since the original notices went out and the
21	paperwork was done,	have you been able to lease additional interests?
22	A.	Yes, we have.
23	Q.	Okay. And have you provided the Board members today
24		

1	with an Exhibit B-2?	
2	A.	Yes, we did.
3	Q.	And are you asking the Board to dismiss certain people as
4	respondents?	
5	A.	Yes, we are.
6	Q.	And what's the reason?
7	A.	Those interests have been leased.
8	Q.	Okay. There's a one page B-2 that shows who you want to
9	subtract?	
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Okay. Do you want to add anybody today?
12	A.	No.
13	Q.	And then you've got ayou've tendered a revised Exhibit
14	B-3?	
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	And what's the difference between the original B-3 and the
17	revised Exhibit B-3?	
18	A.	The B-2 names have been removed from the B-3.
19	Q.	Okay. And then the last item in the revised packet is
20	Exhibit A, page two, c	correct?
21	A.	That's correct.
22	Q.	And what are the percentages now that we're dealing with
23	giving the additional I	easing?
24		

2	from the coal owner a	and 97.6847% of the oil and gas owners have been leased.
3	We're seeking to poo	I 2.3153% of the oil and gas owner's claim to coalbed
4	methane.	
5	Q.	That's substantially less than you were dealing with when
6	you originally filed, rig	ght?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	Okay. What field rules are we talking about here?
9	A.	It's Middle Ridge I. It has 58.74 acres in it.
10	Q.	How many wells are we talking about?
11	A.	One.
12	Q.	Is it in the window?
13	A.	Yes, it is.
14	Q.	Have you provided the Board with a well cost estimate?
15	A.	Yes. \$245,829.89 to a depth of 2,725 feet. The permit
16	number is 6854.	
17	Q.	We've got some escrow requirements here. It looks like
18	we've got a title issue	, meaning there's a conflict in title, in Tracts 4 and 5?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	And we've got some unknowns or unlocateables also in
21	Tracts 4 and 5?	
22	A.	Yes, we do.
23	Q.	And then you filed an Exhibit E, which addresses those
24		

We have leased 100% of the coalbed methane owners

1

A.

issues, but also the more traditional conflict? 2 Correct. Α. 3 Q. And what tracts would be subject to escrow as stated in Exhibit E? 4 5 Α. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7. 6 MARK SWARTZ: Okay. Mr. Chairman, that's all I have on this one. 7 BENNY WAMPLER: Questions from members of the Board? 8 (No audible response.) 9 BENNY WAMPLER: Is there a motion? JAMES McINTRYE: Motion to approve. 10 11 JOSE SIMON: Second. 12 BENNY WAMPLER: Motion to approve and second. Any further 13 discussion? 14 (No audible response.) 15 BENNY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes. (All Board members signify by saying yes.) 16 17 BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no. 18 (No audible response.) 19 BENNY WAMPLER: You have approval. The next item on the 20 agenda is a petition from John Sheffield, as Trustee of, I don't how to pronounce 21 that, Oryn Treadwaye Sheffield, Jr. Trust. This is docket number VGOB-05-1213-1548. We'd ask the parties that wish to address the Board in this matter to come 22 23 forward at this time. If you all would identify yourselves for the record, please.

1	JOHN MOSS: John Moss. I'm counsel for John Coleman Sheffie	₃ld,
2	as Trustee of the Oryn Treadwaye Sheffield, Jr. trust and also Counsel for Oryn	n
3	Sheffield, Jr., as Trustee for the John Coleman Sheffield Trust.	
4	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: John Tollman Sheffield, Trustee	of
5	the Oryn Treadwaye Sheffield Trust.	
6	MARK SWARTZ: Mark Swartz and Les Arrington.	
7	BENNY WAMPLER: The record will show there are no others. Y	'ou
8	may proceed.	
9		
10	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD	
11	having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
13	QUESTIONS BY JOHN MOSS:	
14	Q. Please state your name for the Court.	
15	A. John Tollman Sheffield.	
16	Q. And you are the Trustee of the Oryn Treadwaye Sheffield	ld,
17	Jr. Trust?	
18	A. I am.	
19	BENNY WAMPLER: We need to get him sworn in, please.	
20	(John Tollman Sheffield is duly sworn.)	
21	JOHN MOSS: We'll start over again.	
22	Q. State your name for the Board.	
23	A. John Tollman Sheffield.	
24		

1	Q.	You're the Trustee for the Oryn Treadway Sheffield, Jr.
2	Trust?	
3	Α.	I am.
4	Q.	Also, you're the holder of theof your own Trust, correct?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	And did you have prepared or caused to be prepared a
7	notice of hearing and	petition in this matter?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	And did you send out notice of that petition by certified
10	mail?	
11	A.	I did.
12	Q.	When did you send that out?
13	A.	I believe it was on the 10th of November, '05.
14	Q.	Did you send that to CNX Gas Company, LLC?
15	A.	I did.
16	Q.	Okay. What is the relief that you're seeking today?
17	A.	We're hoping to escrow all wells on 69 tracts of land where
18	there's a question as	toa title question as to ownership of coalbed methane.
19	Q.	These 69 tracts of land, are they located in Buchanan
20	County, Virginia?	
21	Α.	Yes, they are.
22	Q.	And if could, please tell the Board how you came into
23	possession of the inte	erest in these 69 tracts of land?
24		

~ ~

my grandmother, which my brother and I have the two Trusts which make up her 2 3 estate. Q. So, it came down through your grandmother? 4 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 Q. And your grandmother acquired this property through H. Claude Pobst? 7 8 Α. That is correct. 9 Q. And actually in 1937, H. Claude Pobst and F. H. Combs purchased these tracts of property, correct? 10 11 A. That is correct. 12 Q. As well as all coal, oil and gas and all such other minerals, metal and timber? 13 That is correct. 14 Α. 15 Q. And that's by a deed dated December the 4th, 1937? Α. Yes. 16 17 Q. Subsequent to that, did...to your knowledge, did H. Claude Pobst and F. H. Combs devise any coal from those tracts? 18 19 A. Yes, they did. In 1937 they devised 70 tracts of coal to their company, Levisa Coal Company, in December of 1937, coal, mineral and 20 21 timber. 22 Q. Now, it's your position that the Trust still have ownership

Yes. This chain of title came down to Jessie Mae Pobst.

24

23

interest in coalbed methane, correct?

1

A.

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	Have you ever, to your knowledge, been placed under
3	lease for this coalbed	d methane by anyone?
4	A.	My grandmother was placed under lease in August the
5	12th of 1989 from Ox	y Gas Company. That was in Book 353, page 127.
6	Q.	And in regard to thisthis lease, have you seen the lease?
7	Α.	Yes, I have.
8	Q.	And it is an oil, gas and coalbed methane lease?
9	Α.	Yes, it is.
10	Q.	And oil and gas are treated in that lease as well as coalbed
11	methane?	
12	Α.	Yes, it is. All three separate estates.
13	Q.	And they're also treated separately?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	In regards to oil and gas, did the lease take effect as of its
16	execution?	
17	A.	No, it did not.
18	Q.	What about in regards to coalbed methane?
19	A.	It was to begin the day once the lease was executed.
20	Q.	Now, have you ever contacted CNX in regards to
21	clarification of what y	our interest may be in these tracts in regard to coalbed
22	methane?	
23	A.	Yes, I have. I have had phone conversations and sent
24		

1	letters as to my poter	tial claim and at that time to Claude Morgan in the Bluefield
2	office.	
3	Q.	What responses have you received?
4	A.	None.
5	Q.	To your knowledge, it's the position that you don't own any
6	coalbed methane, coa	rrect?
7	A.	That was after the question had been put to them from the
8	Director of Oil and Ga	as, not by me. They decided thatat that time, that I did not
9	have any interest in c	oil, gas or coal. Butand ourmy contention is that we have
10	as well as all such otl	ner minerals.
11	Q.	And that's consistent with the language in the lease, as
12	well as the prior deed	Is in the chain of title?
13	A.	Correct.
14	Q.	Is there any pending litigation in regards to your interest in
15	the coalbed methane	on these tracts?
16	A.	Yes. I believe it was filed this morning in the Courthouse
17	in Grundy, the County	y seat of Buchanan County.
18	Q.	And you're asking the Court today to allow the escrowing
19	of funds until these m	natters are settled?
20	A.	Actually, from the time of production began to forward at
21	whatever our interest	is of one-eight25% of one-eighth. From this point forward
22	we would like to have	100% of that 25%.
23	Q.	Escrowed from this date forward?

1	A. Yes, until decided by the Court. I might also add to the
2	record that if there involves any repooling to thatthose wells on the property,
3	that that burden should be put on the gas company.
4	JOHN MOSS: I have no further questions. Please answer any
5	questions that the Board or other counsel may have.
6	MARY QUILLEN: MrMr. Chair, I have a question on the
7	interpretation of this original 1937
8	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Document.
9	MARY QUILLEN: This coal, oil and gas, as well as all such other
10	minerals. Now, in 1937, to the best of my knowledge, I don't believe I ever have
11	heard or read or anything that there was any acknowledgment of coalbed
12	methane. Are you interpreting "all such other minerals" to be the coalbed
13	methane?
14	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: That question, is it okay for me to
15	answer?
16	MARY QUILLEN: Sure.
17	MARK SWARTZ: Yeah.
18	MARY QUILLEN: Someone answer it.
19	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: I'm sorry, I'll answer it. Yes, ma'am
20	because it's not defined as it is in the lease as its own estate, then it would fall
21	under other minerals. I would agree with you that at that time they didn't
22	understand
23	MARY QUILLEN: There was no such thing.
24	

~ -

1	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD:what it was. If it was anything, it
2	would be a nuisance. I understand what you're saying.
3	MARY QUILLEN: Right.
4	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Butand the fact that the titlethe
5	chain of title did not convey anyas well as other such mineral, except in 1941
6	when Claude Pobst and F. H. Combs conveyed to Garden Realty Tract Number 3
7	and what was left on Tract Number 3 after they had conveyed all of their coal,
8	mineral and timber on the other 70 tracts was oil, gas, as well as all other such
9	mineral substances and anything in the underlying of the land on that tract. So,
10	they knew there was something, in my opinion, and they weren't sure what it was.
11	MARY QUILLEN: In 1941?
12	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Yes. I have a copy of that for you, if
13	you'd like, I'm sorry.
14	MARY QUILLEN: I don't see it documented on this petition, that's
15	the reason I was asking, for 1941. There a reference in 1947.
16	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: There was a sell of oil and gas,
17	you're correct.
18	MARY QUILLEN: Uh-huh.
19	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Or a conveyance, excuse me.
20	(John Moss and John Tollman Sheffield confer with each other.)
21	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Well, I guess, my only point to that
22	is that my grandmother was included in the 353127 lease of 1989 and the lease
23	was oil, gas and coalbed methane.

1	BENNY WAMPLER: Has anyone ever prepared a complete chain of
2	title?
3	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: I have personally. I don't know if
4	anybody else has.
5	BENNY WAMPLER: Could you state your qualifications?
6	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Just the fact that I'm trying to protect
7	my mineral interest, sir.
8	BENNY WAMPLER: What are the 69 tracts that you're asking us
9	toyou're asking us to escrow on 69 tracts.
10	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Yes, sir.
11	BENNY WAMPLER: What are they?
12	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: They areI believe they are
13	identifiedare you saying where is their identification? I believe they're identified
14	within the original deed and Tract Number 3 would be off of that.
15	JOHN MOSS: Right.
16	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Excludingall 70 tracts excluding
17	Tract Number 3.
18	BENNY WAMPLER: Is that where you're listing Russell Fork river,
19	etc.?
20	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Exhibit 1.
21	JOHN MOSS: On their Exhibit 1.
22	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Yes, sir, Exhibit 1. I apologize, sir.
23	BENNY WAMPLER: And those follow actual tract numbers that the
24	

1	Board has?
2	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Yes, that they're identified by.
3	BENNY WAMPLER: I don't think so.
4	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: They're not identified by the
5	tractokay, sir.
6	BENNY WAMPLER: I don't think we have before us the 69 tracts at
7	all. YouI don't know how you did
8	JOHN MOSS: They don't trackwe don't have them tracked by the
9	numbers the Board would assign to it.
10	JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
11	MARY QUILLEN: That doesn't identifypardon me.
12	BENNY WAMPLER: That's all right. That's all right.
13	MARY QUILLEN: It doesn't identify what the
14	BENNY WAMPLER: I can'tI mean, I don't think we're able to
15	determine whatMr. Wilson, I yield to you, may have had some discussions. But
16	I don't think, based on what we have before us, we're able identify the 69 tracts in
17	question. I'm going to give you a chance to respond, Mr. Swartz. I'm just trying
18	to
19	MARK SWARTZ: Well, you may deal with it before you get to me.
20	So
21	BENNY WAMPLER:get some basicsome basic information
22	here.
23	BOB WILSON: There have been prior actions taken regarding this.
24	

1 Mr. Sheffield sent an inquiry to our office stating that...I don't have all this 2 information with me, so please correct me if I...if I go wrong here. But, basically, stated that he had these 69 acre, or 69 tracts of land, in Buchanan County that he 3 4 is not certain exactly where they are relative to units. He knows where his land is, 5 but he doesn't have the units and this sort of thing such that he can place them relative to wells and that sort of thing. I think that information would reside 6 with...in our permanent files or with the company. But he stated that he had been 7 notified as an owner on a very few of these tracts where they had falling...falling 8 9 into units but had not been notified as an owner in other units in which a part of 10 this 69 tracts fell. Basically, he was claiming to us that the documentation that 11 had been supplied by the company to the Division of Gas and Oil was incorrect 12 and that it did not include him as owner...him or his Trusts and asked us to take 13 action in that regard. We, when these things occur, routinely will then send a 14 letter to the operator, in this case CNX, instructing them to do one of two things: 15 Either to verify that the application materials and such that they had sent to the 16 Division of Gas and Oil are true and correct to the best of their knowledge; or, if 17 they find there have been mistakes and omissions, then they have to point those out to us and tell us how they're planning to correct them. They're subject to any 18 19 enforcement action or such that we would take as a result of that. We received a 20 letter back from CNX stating that they, in a nutshell, stuck by the information that 21 they had previously submitted and would make no changes in that, which, 22 basically, takes us out of it as the Division of Gas and Oil. I informed Mr.

Sheffield of this and I think that was when he started exploring the possibility of

51

24

coming before the Board and seeking relief here. 1 2 BENNY WAMPLER: Thank you. You know, I...you know, I'm...just 3 open discussion here and with you as well. Until we have the 69 tracts, I mean, I think we...we don't have a valid petition. In courtesy, we'll continue this until you 4 can do that---. 5 6 JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Yes, sir. 7 BENNY WAMPLER: ---until February or March...you give me a date 8 and we'll do that so you don't have to file, you know, and pay money again. 9 What's a reasonable date? You would have to identify the tracts for us to be able 10 to do anything. 11 JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Yes, sir, I understand. 12 JOHN MOSS: I'll leave it up to him. He has got...he's the one flying in from Texas. 13 JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: I would say we could have it done 14 15 by March. BENNY WAMPLER: Okay. We'll continue this until March. 16 17 JOHN TOLLMAN SHEFFIELD: Thank you. 18 BENNY WAMPLER: Thank you. Did you have anything, Mr. 19 Swartz? 20 MARK SWARTZ: No. 21 BENNY WAMPLER: Thank you. (Benny Wampler confers with the Board.) 22

BENNY WAMPLER: Okay, we're going to take a ten minute break.

23

1	(вгеак.)
2	BENNY WAMPLER: The next item on the agenda is a petition from
3	Equitable Production Company for pooling of a conventional unit P-550479. This
4	is docket number VGOB-05-1213-1550. We'd ask the parties that wish to
5	address the Board in this matter to come forward at this time. 1550.
6	DON HALL: I believe you skipped one.
7	DONALD RATLIFF: It's 13.
8	BENNY WAMPLER: I'm sorry.
9	MARY QUILLEN: Yes, it's 13.
10	BENNY WAMPLER: I'm sorry. I continued it, I guess, because I
11	wanted to.
12	(Laughs.)
13	BENNY WAMPLER: Strike that. I just went ahead and continued
14	that too. I got on a roll, I guess. Okay, the next item on the agenda is a petition
15	from Equitable Production Company for pooling of coalbed methane unit VC-
16	536771. This is docket number VGOB-05-1213-1549. We'd ask the parties that
17	wish to address the Board in this matter to come forward at this time.
18	JIM KAISER: Now, Mr. Chairman, Jim Kaiser and Don Hall on
19	behalf of Equitable Production Company.
20	BENNY WAMPLER: Okay, the record will show no others. You may
21	proceed.
22	(Don Hall is duly sworn.)
23	JIM KAISER: We do have a revised plat for this one. Do you want
24	

1	to go aneadyou ve aiready nanded it out?
2	DON HALL: I've already passed it out.
3	BENNY WAMPLER: We've got a copy.
4	DON HALL: The purpose in the revised plat was the tract that
5	we'rethe subject tract that we're dealing with today is a pointit's called a .25,
6	which actually calculates out to be a .29 acre tract that's shown as Tract 2 on this
7	plat. Our original well location in this surface during our title examination and our
8	original platoriginal well was less than 750 feet from this tract. Since these
9	parties own the coal, we had to move the well greater than 750 feet from the
10	consent to stimulate standpoint and that's the purpose of this correctthis new
11	plat.
12	
13	DON HALL
14	having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	QUESTIONS BY MR. KAISER:
17	Q. All right, Mr. Hall, then if you'd state your name for the
18	Board, who you're employed by and in what capacity?
19	A. My name is Don Hall. I'm employed by Equitable
20	Production Company as District Landman.
21	Q. Do your responsibilities include the land involved in this
22	unit and in the surrounding area?
23	A. They do.
24	

1	Q.	Are you familiar with Equitable's application seeking to
2	pool any unleased parties in the unit for well EPC VC-536771?	
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	which was dated November the 11th, 2005?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	Okay. And does Equitable own drilling rights in the unit
7	involved here?	
8	A.	We do.
9	Q.	Prior to filing the application, were efforts made to contact
10	each of the interest of	wners and an attempt made to work out a voluntary lease
11	agreement with each	of them?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	And what is the interest under lease to Equitable in both
14	the gas and coal esta	te within this unit?
15	A.	We have 99.51% leased.
16	Q.	Soso, there's no conflicting claims here, right?
17	A.	No.
18	Q.	And there iswhat's the unleased portion of both the
19	oilgas estate and th	e coal estate?
20	A.	.4901%.
21	Q.	Okay. And we don't have any unknowns?
22	A.	No.
23	Q.	In your professional opinion, was due diligence exercised
24		

2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Now, are the addresses set out in Exhibit B to the application the last known addresses for the respondents? 4 Α. 5 They are. 6 Q. Are you requesting this Board to force pool all the 7 unleased interest, that being in Tract 2, as listed at Exhibit B-3? 8 Α. Yes. Q. 9 Are you familiar with the fair market value of drilling rights in this unit and the surrounding area? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Could you advise the Board as to what those are? 13 Α. We pay a five dollar bonus on a five year term with a oneeighth royalty. 14 15 Q. In your opinion, do the terms you just testified to represent the fair market value of and fair and reasonable compensation to be paid for 16 drilling rights within this unit? 17 18 Yes. Α. 19 Q. Now, as to those interest owners in Tract 2 who remain 20 unleased, do you agree that they be allowed the following statutory 21 options with respect to their ownership interest within the 22 1) Participation; 2) a cash bonus of five dollars per 23 net mineral acre plus a one-eighth of eight-eighths royalty;

to locate each of the respondents named in Exhibit B?

- 1 or 3) in lieu of a cash bonus and one-eighth of eight-eights
- 2 royalty share in the operation of the well on a carried basis
- 3 as a carried operator under the following conditions: Such
- 4 carried operator shall be entitled to the share of production
- 5 from the tracts pooled accruing to his interest exclusive of
- 6 any royalty or overriding royalty reserved in any leases,
- 7 assignments thereof or agreements relating thereto of such
- 8 tracts, but only after the proceeds applicable to his or her
- 9 share equal, A) 300% of the share of such costs applicable to
- 10 the interest of the carried operator of a leased tract or
- 11 portion thereof; or B) 200% of the share of such costs
- 12 applicable to the interest of a carried operator of an
- 13 unleased tract or portion thereof?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Do you recommend that the order provide that
- 16 elections by the respondent be in writing and sent to the
- 17 applicant at Equitable Production Company, 1710 Pennsylvania
- 18 Avenue, Charleston, West Virginia 25302, and Ms. Pigeon here
- 19 is a change for you, Attention: Leslie Smith, Regulatory?
- 20 We're changing that from Melanie Freeman. Her duties have
- 21 shifted.
- 22 L-E-L-I-E and the Smith. And should this be the address for
- 23 all communications with the applicant concerning any force

- 1 pooling order?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Do you recommend that the order provide that
- 4 if no written elections was properly made by a respondent,
- 5 then that respondent should be deemed to have elected the
- 6 cash royalty option in lieu of any participation?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Should the unleased respondents be given 30
- 9 days from the date that they receive the recorded Board order
- 10 to file their written elections?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. If an unleased respondent elects to
- 13 participate, should they be given 45 days to pay the
- 14 applicant for their proportionate share of well costs?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Does the applicant expect any party electing
- 17 to participate to pay in advance that share of actual
- 18 completed well costs?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Should the applicant be allowed a 120 days
- 21 following the recordation date of the Board order and
- 22 thereafter annually on that date until production is
- 23 achieved, to pay or tender any delay rental or cash bonus

- 1 becoming due under the force pooling order?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Do you recommend that the order provide that
- 4 if a respondent elects to participate but fails to pay their
- 5 proportionate share of well costs, then their election to
- 6 participate should be treated as having been withdrawn and
- 7 void and that respondents should be treated just as if no
- 8 election had been filed under the force pooling order, in
- 9 other words, deemed to have leased?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do you recommend that the order provide that
- 12 where a respondent elects to participate but defaults in
- 13 regard to payment of well costs, any sum becoming payable to
- 14 that respondent be paid by the applicant or operator within
- 15 60 days after the last date on which that respondent could
- 16 have paid for those well costs?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. In this particular case, we don't
- 19 have conflicting claims to the coalbed methane and we don't
- 20 have any unknown interest owners within the unit, so there is
- 21 no requirement for an escrow on this well, correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. And who should be named operator under any

- 1 force pooling order?
- 2 A. Equitable Production Company.
- 3 Q. What's the total depth of proposed well?
- 4 A. 2398 feet.
- 5 Q. And the estimated reserves for the unit?
- A. 330 million cubic feet.
- 7 Q. And are you familiar with the well costs?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Has an AFE been reviewed, signed and
- 10 submitted to the Board as Exhibit C?
- 11 A. It has.
- 12 Q. In your opinion, does it represent a
- 13 reasonable estimate of the well costs?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Could you state for the Board both the dry
- 16 hole costs and completed well costs for this well?
- 17 A. The dry hole costs is \$114,539 and the
- 18 completed well costs is \$284,663.
- 19 Q. Do these costs anticipate a multiple
- 20 completion?
- 21 A. They do.
- 22 Q. Does your AFE include a reasonable charge
- 23 for supervision?

~ -

```
2
                       In your professional opinion, would the
               Ο.
3
   granting of this application be in the best interest of
4
   conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection of
5
   correlative rights?
6
                       Yes.
               Α.
7
                             Nothing further at this time of this
               MR. KAISER:
   witness, Mr. Chairman.
8
9
                                 Questions from members of the
               BENNY WAMPLER:
10
   Board?
11
               (No audible response.)
12
               BENNY WAMPLER: Do you have anything further?
13
               JIM KAISER: We'd ask that the petition be approved as submitted
14
   with the addition of the new plat.
15
               BENNY WAMPLER: Is there a motion?
               JIM McINTYRE: So moved.
16
17
               PEGGY BARBAR: I second.
18
               BENNY WAMPLER: Motion for approval and a second. Any further
19
   discussion?
20
               (No audible response.)
21
               BENNY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
               (All members signify by saying yes, except Donald Ratliff.)
22
23
               BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
24
```

Α.

Yes.

1	DONALD RATLIFF: I abstain, Mr. Chairman.				
2	BENNY WAMPLER: Mr. Ratliff abstains. The next item on the				
3	agenda is a petition from Equitable Production Company for pooling of a				
4	conventional unit P-550479, docket number VGOB-05-1213-1550. We'd ask the				
5	parties that wish to address the Board in this matter to come forward at this time.				
6	JIM KAISER: Again, Mr. Chairman, Don Hall and Jim Kaiser for				
7	Equitable Production Company.				
8	BENNY WAMPLER: We have some others that are coming up.				
9	(Speakers come forward.)				
10	BENNY WAMPLER: I'd ask you both to state your name for the				
11	record, please.				
12	KENNETH TURNER: Kenneth Turner.				
13	REBECCA FLEMING: Rebecca Fleming.				
14	(Kenneth Turner and Rebecca Fleming are duly sworn.)				
15	BENNY WAMPLER: You may proceed, Mr. Kaiser. We'll let him go				
16	and then you'll have a chance to ask questions and address the Board, okay?				
17					
18	<u>DON HALL</u>				
19	<u>DIRECT EXAMINATION</u>				
20	QUESTIONS BY MR. KAISER:				
21	Q. Mr. Hall, again, state your name for the Board, who you're				
22	employed by and in what capacity?				
23	A. Don Hall. I'm employed by Equitable Production Company				
24					

1	as District Landman.	
2	Q.	And your responsibilities include the land involving this unit
3	and in the surroundin	g area?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	And you're familiar with Equitable's application seeking the
6	establishment of a un	it and pooling of any unleased interest of EPC number P-
7	550479, dated Noven	nber the 11th, 2005?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	Now, does Equitable own drilling rights in the unit involved
10	here?	
11	A.	We do.
12	Q.	Now, prior to filing the application, were efforts made to
13	contact each of the re	spondents and an attempt made to work out a voluntary
14	lease agreement?	
14 15	lease agreement? A.	Yes.
	•	Yes. What is the interest of Equitable under lease in this unit?
15	A.	
15 16	A. Q.	What is the interest of Equitable under lease in this unit?
15 16 17	A. Q. A.	What is the interest of Equitable under lease in this unit? We have 89.8944% leased.
15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	What is the interest of Equitable under lease in this unit? We have 89.8944% leased. And are the unleased parties set out at Exhibit B-3?
15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	What is the interest of Equitable under lease in this unit? We have 89.8944% leased. And are the unleased parties set out at Exhibit B-3? Yes.
15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	What is the interest of Equitable under lease in this unit? We have 89.8944% leased. And are the unleased parties set out at Exhibit B-3? Yes. And are you familiar with the ownership of drilling rights of
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. parties other than Equ	What is the interest of Equitable under lease in this unit? We have 89.8944% leased. And are the unleased parties set out at Exhibit B-3? Yes. And are you familiar with the ownership of drilling rights of uitable underlying this unit?

1	A.	10.1056%.
2	Q.	Okay. Bear with me for a minute here.
3	(Jim Kai	ser reviews his notes.)
4	Q.	Okay, we do have quite a few unknowns in this particular
5	unit, is that correct?	
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	And were reasonable and diligent efforts made and
8	sources checked to ic	dentify and locate these unknown heirs including primary
9	sources such as deed	d records, probate records, assessor's records, treasurer's
10	records and secondary sources such as telephone directories, city directories,	
11	family and friends?	
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	In your professional opinion, was due diligence exercised
14	to attempt to locate ea	ach of the respondents named in Exhibit B?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	Are the addresses set out in Exhibit B to the application the
17	last known addresses	for the respondents?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	Are you requesting this Board to force pool all unleased
20	interest listed in Exhib	pit B-3?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	Again, are you familiar with the fair market value of drilling
23	rights in the unit here	and in the surrounding area?
24		

2	Q. Again, could you advise the Board as to what	those are?
3	A. We pay a five dollar bonus with a five year te	rm with a
4	4 one-eighth royalty.	
5	Q. In your opinion, do the terms you just testified	I to represent
6	the fair market value of and the fair and reasonable compensation to	be paid for
7	7 drilling rights within this unit?	
8	A. They do.	
9	JIM KAISER: Mr. Chairman, as to the statutory election	n options
10	afforded the unleased parties, we would ask thatand their obligation	ns and time
11	frames in which to make those, we'd ask that the testimony just taken	n in docket
12	number 05-1213-1549 be incorporated.	
13	BENNY WAMPLER: That will be incorporated.	
14	Q. Now, Mr. Hall, since we do haveeven thoug	h it's a
15	conventional well, since we do have a number of unknown interest o	wners, the
16	Board does need to establish a escrow account.	
17	JIM KAISER: And, I guess, Ms. Pigeon, if you want, I o	can go
18	8 through and identify the tracts ordo you want me to do that or?	
19	SHARON PIGEON: Well, I'm not really doing the orde	rs now.
20	JIM KAISER: Oh, that's right. I'm doing them. Yeah, o	okay, I can do
21	that. They're listed at Exhibit E. All the unknown people that we have	/eis listed ir
22	2 Exhibit E.	
23	BENNY WAMPLER: Tract 4?	
24	4	

A.

Yes.

1	JIM KAI	SER: Yeah.
2	Q.	And who should be named operator under the force
3	pooling order?	
4	A.	Equitable Production Company.
5	Q.	And the total depth of the proposed well?
6	A.	5854 feet.
7	Q.	And the estimated reserves for the unit?
8	A.	250 million cubic feet.
9	Q.	Now, are you familiar with the costs for this well?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Has an AFE been reviewed, signed and submitted to the
12	Board as Exhibit C to	this application?
13	A.	It has.
14	Q.	In your opinion, does it represent a reasonable estimate of
15	the well costs?	
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	Could you state the dry hole costs and completed well
18	costs for this well?	
19	A.	The dry hole costs is \$254,265 and the completed well
20	costs is \$489,901.	
21	Q.	Do these costs anticipate a multiple completion?
22	A.	They do.
23	Q.	Does your AFE include a reasonable charge for
24		

1	supervision		
2		A.	Yes.
3		Q.	In your professional opinion, would the granting of this
4	application b	oe in the l	pest interest of conversation, the prevention of waste and the
5	protection of	f correlati	ve rights?
6		A.	Yes.
7		JIM KAI	SER: Nothing further of this witness at this time, Mr.
8	Chairman.		
9		<u>BENNY</u>	WAMPLER: You may go ahead and proceed, either one of
10	you.		
11		REBEC	CA FLEMING: Go ahead.
12		<u>KENNE</u>	TH TURNER: I've got a few questions I'd like to ask you. Is
13	thisthis pro	ojected w	ell site on thison this well to be drilled, is it still going to
14	continue on	the origin	nal site?
15		DON H	ALL: Do you mean the one that'sthe site is the one that's
16	on the map,	yes.	
17		KENNE	TH TURNER: On the 550479?
18		DON H	<u>ALL</u> : Yes.
19		KENNE	TH TURNER: That's the original site when it wasabout
20	thirty years a	ago was a	a mine?
21		DON H	ALL: I'm not sure if it has been moved or not.
22		KENNE	TH TURNER: Well, now, I'll tell you, about two years ago,
23	you know, a	guy by th	ne name of Wayne Mannis
24			

1	DON HALL: Uh-huh.
2	KENNETH TURNER: Okay. He was at my house.
3	DON HALL: Uh-huh.
4	KENNETH TURNER: He said that well site there was not feasible.
5	It was under the power lines. They couldn't get the equipment in there and that
6	well site was obsolete and could not be used.
7	DON HALL: Uh-huh.
8	KENNETH TURNER: However, there has been nobody back to my
9	house to renegotiate or to even state they had plans of drilling. So,
10	what'swhat's the purpose of notI mean?
11	JIM KAISER: Sir, could I get your name again?
12	KENNETH TURNER: Kenneth Turner.
13	JIM KAISER: Kenneth Turner. You're leased, right?
14	KENNETH TURNER: Pardon?
15	JIM KAISER: You're leased?
16	KENNETH TURNER: Right.
17	JIM KAISER: You have a lease?
18	KENNETH TURNER: Uh-huh.
19	JIM KAISER: Okay.
20	KENNETH TURNER: I just own the surface, just the surface.
21	DON HALL: For the
22	JIM KAISER: Where the well is.
23	KENNETH TURNER: Where the well
24	

1	JIM KAISER: But you're also leased. I mean, you do own the oil
2	and gas?
3	DON HALL: No, not on
4	JIM KAISER: Not on thiswell, it says in here, don't it?
5	DON HALL: Well, he probably owns an interest in
6	this
7	JIM KAISER: Oh, it's another tract, okay.
8	DON HALL: Yeah.
9	KENNETH TURNER: Yeah, right. I am an heir to the
10	DON HALL: Yeah.
11	KENNETH TURNER:John B. Turner.
12	DON HALL: Yeah.
13	KENNETH TURNER: But my interest was why I haven'tWayne
14	Mannis or some other represent representative come back to state where this well
15	is going to be drilled?
16	DON HALL: II can't answer that. Wayne doesn'the doesn't work
17	for us anymore and he didn't work for me then. But we could check into it and get
18	back with you.
19	BENNY WAMPLER: Is thisis this on your property?
20	KENNETH TURNER: Yes, sir, it is.
21	DON HALL: It's on the surface.
22	JIM KAISER: On the surface.
23	BENNY WAMPLER: Where it's currently platted, it's on your
24	

1	Surface?
2	JIM KAISER: Yeah.
3	DON HALL: Yeah.
4	KENNETH TURNER: Yes, it is.
5	BENNY WAMPLER: Would anywould any location there be on his
6	surface, Mr. Hall?
7	DON HALL: I'm not sure. I don't knowhow much do you own
8	there, Mr. Turner?
9	KENNETH TURNER: I ownI own the wholeI mean, anywhere on
10	that side of the road withinI mean, own, let's see, about 40 acres
11	DON HALL: Uh-huh.
12	KENNETH TURNER:on thaton thatanywhere that it would be
13	feasible to drill a well on that side of the road would be on my property.
14	BENNY WAMPLER: Are there locations on thaton thaton your
15	property that's acceptable to you?
16	KENNETH TURNER: Yes. As a matter of a fact, I walked through
17	this with Mr. Mannis and I told him I would beI mean, there would be no problem
18	of obtaining a site of giving him permission to drill that well. However, it has been
19	two years ago and I haven't seen or heard from him since. It seems to me like the
20	plans are going forward
21	JIM KAISER: Well
22	KENNETH TURNER:to drill this well without
23	JIM KAISER: Well, you've got some protection. Have you received
24	

1	a permit applicationa copy of a permit application?
2	KENNETH TURNER: Not thatnot that I knownot that I know of.
3	JIM KAISER: Don, do you know if the permit has been applied for?
4	DON HALL: I think it has already been permitted. You should
5	haveyou should have gotten the permit applipermit application.
6	KENNETH TURNER: I have no knowledge ofof getting any
7	material in the mail except thisthis hearing. There has definitely been no
8	compensation.
9	DON HALL: Well, Mr. Heflin is here for another hearing today and
10	that's his partthat's his side of the
11	JIM KAISER: Do you want go get him?
12	DON HALL: Yeah, we needyou really need to talk with him
13	regarding that about no one getting in touch with you.
14	JIM KAISER: See, the permitting process and the force pooling
15	process are really two separate things.
16	KENNETH TURNER: Well, shouldn'tI mean, as far as
17	businessbusiness like procedures, shouldn't youshouldn't you have this well
18	site acquired before you make plans on drilling a well?
19	DON HALL: We should have and it was my understanding that we
20	had. But if it hasn't happened, then we need to make it happen.
21	KENNETH TURNER: There was an original agreement made
22	between the previous landowner before me. But, as I said, Mr. Mannis said that
23	that site was not feasible to use. As a matter of a fact, it's practically under the
24	

- 1 power line and, therefore, it was important to him, I don't know if it is to anybody
- 2 else or not, it was important to him to acquire a different site.
- 3 JIM KAISER: Yeah.
- 4 <u>DON HALL</u>: Well, like I said, he doesn't work for us anymore. But
- 5 I'll...we can definitely---.
- 6 <u>JIM KAISER</u>: We can find out all this.
- 7 DON HALL: We can find out all of this information. Mr. Heflin is
- 8 here---.
- 9 JIM KAISER: Before we leave here.
- 10 <u>DON HALL</u>: ---now. He can...he can discuss with you.
- 11 <u>KENNETH TURNER</u>: I don't know if it matters to you, again, or not,
- but the...according to Mr. Mannis, the line from the well was going to go and
- 13 intercept with the existing line on down the---.
- 14 <u>DON HALL</u>: Right.
- 15 KENNETH TURNER: ---the woods, which would cover...which
- would go right on through my property.
- 17 <u>DON HALL</u>: The pipeline you're talking about?
- 18 <u>KENNETH TURNER</u>: Right.
- 19 <u>JIM KAISER</u>: Yeah.
- 20 KENNETH TURNER: Uh-huh. Which that hasn't been discussed as
- 21 far as compensation either.
- DON HALL: I have...the old location may have been permitted. I'm
- 23 not...I'm not sure...I've got a note here that it has been permitted, but it may have

1	been the original				
2	KENNETH TURNER: It was permittedyeah, right. It has been				
3	permitted from the previous owner, which				
4	JIM KAISER: Probably permitted and expired.				
5	DON HALL: Yeah.				
6	KENNETH TURNER: Which Mr. Mannis declared that it				
7	was				
8	DON HALL: That location.				
9	KENNETH TURNER: It ain't no way that they could drill a well				
10	there.				
11	DON HALL: I understand.				
12	KENNETH TURNER: And that's and that's all I have.				
13	DON HALL: Okay.				
14	BENNY WAMPLER: Go ahead.				
15	REBECCA FLEMING: Well, mine is pretty simple too. About twenty				
16	years ago				
17	JIM KAISER: Would you state your name again, please?				
18	REBECCA FLEMING: Rebecca Fleming.				
19	JIM KAISER: Rebecca Fleming.				
20	REBECCA FLEMING: About twenty years ago, it's under Rebecca				
21	Woods on the paper, I signed some documents. My mom was an heir to this land				
22	I signed some documents that stated that they would drill a well and I would get				
23	so much, you knownot a lot of money a month, but a little tiny bit of money a				
24					

- 1 month. Since I've signed the papers, I have...I have received nothing. I know my
- 2 father didn't. He was in prison. It says on here that it's unleased. But I do know
- 3 that I signed the documents. Like I said, it has been probably twenty years ago.
- 4 <u>DON HALL</u>: It may have expired.
- 5 <u>REBECCA FLEMING</u>: You know, I'm like him, I didn't receive
- 6 anything else. But I never received anything. I've tried contacting people. I even
- 7 had the well site numbers. I tried contacting Equitable and they gave me the run
- 8 around or they told me that, you know, they didn't know the site or, you
- 9 know...and---.
- 10 JIM KAISER: Well, the way...l might be able to answer that for you.
- 11 I don't know whether or not it was leased or unleased. But if you had a lease and
- weren't getting anything, it's probably because you were a remainderment.
- 13 Vonzel Woods would that be your mother?
- 14 <u>REBECCA FLEMING</u>: That would be my father.
- JIM KAISER: Your father?
- REBECCA FLEMING: My mother is...was deceased at the time.
- 17 JIM KAISER: This says widow. But anyway, whoever...if it was a
- 18 life estate in the case of a lease, they would have received any delay rentals.
- 19 REBECCA FLEMING: No, he was...he was in prison and it was all
- 20 signed over to me. My guardian was there. She has not received anything.
- 21 <u>JIM KAISER</u>: We can check into that.
- 22 <u>REBECCA FLEMING</u>: There has...I mean, I have...there has been
- 23 no money that has came to me or anybody associated with me.

1	DON HALL: Were youwere you under age twenty			
2	years ago?			
3	REBECCA FLEMING: Yesyes, I was.			
4	DON HALL: Was there a court appointed?			
5	REBECCA FLEMING: Yes.			
6	DON HALL:guardian ad litem?			
7	REBECCA FLEMING: Yes. There was a court appointed guardian.			
8	DON HALL: I think probably the payment was made as a one time			
9	payment then and we haven't drilled the well yet. So, therefore, there has been			
10	no further money involved.			
11	REBECCA FLEMING: There was no payment then, not that Inot			
12	that I am aware of, there was never a payment. There was to my uncle and my			
13	aunt, but that had nothing to dothey're on that tract also, Victor and Patsy Willis			
14	DON HALL: Yeah.			
15	REBECCA FLEMING: They're on the same tract. But it had nothing			
16	to do with me personally.			
17	JIM KAISER: We can check into that.			
18	DON HALL: Yeah, we can check.			
19	REBECCA FLEMING: As I said, I've called. I've done everything.			
20	JIM KAISER: It's such a small undivided interest, it probably was			
21	just a one time payment of, you know, whatever, \$25 or something.			
22	DON HALL: It was probablyprobably paid to the guardian ad litem			
23	or to your mother.			

1	JIM KAISER: It's a .150		
2	REBECCA FLEMING: My mother was dead.		
3	JIM KAISER:100%.		
4	DON HALL: Or your father.		
5	REBECCA FLEMING: My father was in prison.		
6	DON HALL: Okay. I don't know.		
7	BENNY WAMPLER: I think the reasonable thing to do here is		
8	continue it to next month, if that's enough time, and let you all work with these		
9	folks and come back and		
10	JIM KAISER: Well, I would disagree with that. I don't think either		
11	one of these objections have anything to do with the force pooling hearing. I'm		
12	not trying to be rude or		
13	BENNY WAMPLER: Well, you can be rude.		
14	(Laughs.)		
15	BENNY WAMPLER: I'll give you permission to be rude to me.		
16	JIM KAISER: I mean, his is a permitting issueyou know, his is a		
17	permitting issue		
18	BENNY WAMPLER: Not with them, but with me if you want to.		
19	JIM KAISER: His is a permit issue regarding the surface and hers		
20	is, you knowis an issue whether or notyou know, that's just a contractual issue		
21	that you don't have jurisdiction over either. I think both of their objections are non		
22	jurisdictional to this hearing.		
23	DON HALL: But we will continue to		
24			

1	JIM KAISER: But we ii figure it out for both of them.				
2	DON HALL: Yeah.				
3	JIM KAISER: In fact, George Heflin will address his right away and				
4	then Don will just have to call their division order people and find out where that				
5	payment went and what the status of that lease from twenty years ago was.				
6	Apparently, we're under the impression that it has expired since we're force				
7	pooling her now, that interest is unleased. But, in my opinion, both those				
8	objections are non-jurisdictional.				
9	SHARON PIGEON: Whether they're jurisdictional?				
10	BENNY WAMPLER: You may be right. I'm sorry.				
11	SHARON PIGEON: I was just going to say, whether they're				
12	jurisdictional or not, I think they have a right to continue it to obtain more				
13	information.				
14	KENNETH TURNER: Did you say that the?				
15	JIM KAISER: It's not going to affect this.				
16	KENNETH TURNER: Did you say the guy thatthat he's going to				
17	be here later on today or?				
18	JIM KAISER: He's here now.				
19	BENNY WAMPLER: He's here.				
20	JIM KAISER: We can go get him and address that issue right away				
21	if you want us to. But, again, it's a permitting issue.				
22	KENNETH TURNER: It sure would be helpful to me. I don't				
23	JIM KAISER: Her issue is a lease issue, which is a contractual				
24					

1	issue. I mean				
2	BENNY WAMPLER: We understand that, you know. But we have				
3	people that come here and the only way they had notice was for the Board.				
4	JIM KAISER: What I'm saying is, it's non-jurisdictional. You don't				
5	have the right to continue it, in my opinion.				
6	BENNY WAMPLER: We always have the right to continue. We can				
7	continue every item you have, if wanted to. You're before a Board that always				
8	has the right todo you think?				
9	JIM KAISER: Right. Wellbut, I mean, what are you going to gain				
10	fromthen my question to you is, what are you going to gain from it and what are				
11	they going to gain from it?				
12	BENNY WAMPLER: Well, I'll notI'm not going to sit here and				
13	argue with you. I'll let the Board decide if they want to continue this case or not.				
14	KENNETH TURNER: If we couldanyway possible we could get an				
15	answer today, it would sure save me fifty miles of				
16	DON HALL: We can take care of that.				
17	JIM KAISER: Yeah, we won'twe won't make you have to come				
18	back here necessarily, if we take care of your problem before January when this				
19	would be continued to.				
20	KENNETH TURNER: You don't have no projection time to start this				
21	well?				
22	DON HALL: Probablyprobably early next year.				
23	KENNETH TURNER: Early of 2006?				
24					

1	DON HALL: Yean.					
2	JIM KAISER: We'llwe'll do whatever the Board wants. I'm not					
3	trying to be pushy or arrogant. I justI don't understand.					
4	BENNY WAMPLER: Well, to the extent we can get things resolved,					
5	we won't have a problem. But to the extent we can't get them resolved, we've got					
6	ayou know, I've got a problem. I don't know if the rest of the Board does or not.					
7	We'll find out, if you want to put it to a vote.					
8	JUDY TURNER: Excuse me. May I add to this?					
9	BENNY WAMPLER: State your name for the					
10	JUDY TURNER: My name is Judy Turner. Kenneth Turner is my					
11	husband.					
12	(Judy Turner is duly sworn.)					
13	JUDY TURNER: What Iwhat I'm not understanding is if					
14	thisdoesn't pertain to us, why were we sent papers to come to this meeting and					
15	then we've got other papers from Equitable sayingmore or less stating to other					
16	people that you already have a deal with us to put a well on our property. No, you					
17	don't.					
18	JIM KAISER: Well, I think George canmaybe Mr. Heflin can					
19	address that. You were noticed to this hearing because you do have an interest					
20	in the oil and gas within this unit. It's a conventional well. Even though you're					
21	leased, we still have to notice you, okay? That's why youyou got notice of this					
22	hearing.					
23	JUDY TURNER: But thenbut then when we come up with our					
24						

1	questions, you're acting like that our questions					
2	JIM KAISER: I'm not saying they're not important. I'm just saying					
3	JUDY TURNER:shouldn't even be answered.					
4	JIM KAISER:there'sthis is a bifurcated process. There is a					
5	permitting process and a force pooling process. Surface questions are addressed					
6	in the permitting process.					
7	JUDY TURNER: We sent questions to two people and nobody					
8	answers us. I mean, we've asked questions and we don't get answers unless we					
9	come here.					
10	DON HALL: II don't know about the questions.					
11	JUDY TURNER: I mean, I realize that we are lay people. We're not					
12	attorneys. We've never done this before and we don't know the full procedures.					
13	But I don't like being notified to tell me that I can come here and my questions will					
14	be answered and then when we ask a question, we'reit's like, well, who are you					
15	to even ask these questions?					
16	JIM KAISER: Well, that's certainly not the impression I was trying to					
17	create.					
18	JUDY TURNER: That's the impression that I'm getting.					
19	JIM KAISER: Well, that'sI'm sorry about that. That's the wrong					
20	impression.					
21	JOSE SIMON: Mr. Chairman, may I?					
22	BENNY WAMPLER: Yes, Mr. Simon.					
23	JOSE SIMON: I think a one month continuance and let them work					
24						

1	things out.
2	JIM KAISER: That's fine. Let's go
3	JOSE SIMON: There seems there is an issue.
4	JUDY TURNER: This costs us money too.
5	JIM KAISER: Well, I know.
6	JUDY TURNER: I mean, we
7	JIM KAISER: That's why I don't want to continue it.
8	JUDY TURNER: Well, I agree.
9	JIM KAISER: We can answer your questions.
10	JUDY TURNER: It costsit costs us money to come from Haysi
11	also. I mean, it's seventy miles one way trip for us.
12	JIM KAISER: And, hopefully, Mrs. Turner, your questions we'll be
13	able to answer without you having to come back. We'll certainly try to. In fact, if
14	you'll hang around until we're done, you know, Mr. Heflin maybe can get it worked
15	out today. Okay?
16	JUDY TURNER: I would appreciate it.
17	KENNETH TURNER: I would be happy to wait.
18	JIM KAISER: Okay.
19	KENNETH TURNER: We'd be happy to wait.
20	DON HALL: And we'll look into your situation.
21	REBECCA FLEMING: Do you need my new address?
22	DON HALL: Yeah.
23	REBECCA FLEMING: I mean, as I said, it may be (inaudible) to
24	

1	you. But twenty years ago, that was a lot to a small child.				
2	BENNY WAMPLER: Any objection from members of the Board to a				
3	continuation until January?				
4	(No audible response.)				
5	BENNY WAMPLER: It's continued. The next item on the agenda is				
6	a				
7	REBECCA FLEMING: Which day in January?				
8	BENNY WAMPLER: I don't know that.				
9	COURT REPORTER: The third Tuesday.				
10	BENNY WAMPLER: It would be the third Tuesday.				
11	JIM KAISER: The 17th.				
12	DON HALL: The 17th I believe it is.				
13	BENNY WAMPLER: The next item on the agenda is a petition from				
14	Equitable Production Company for pooling of coalbed methane unit VC-550289.				
15	This is docket number VGOB-05-1213-1551. We'd ask the parties that wish to				
16	address the Board in this matter to come forward at this time.				
17	JIM KAISER: Jim Kaiser and Don Hall on behalf of Equitable				
18	Production.				
19	BENNY WAMPLER: The record will show no others. You may				
20	proceed.				
21					
22	<u>DON HALL</u>				
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
24					

1	QUESTIONS BY MR. KAISER:				
2	C	Q .	Mr. Hall, if you'd again state your name for the Board, who		
3	you're employe	ed by an	d in what capacity?		
4	A	٨.	My name is Don Hall. I'm employed by Equitable		
5	Production Co	mpany a	as District Landman.		
6	(Q .	And you're familiar with the application we filed seeking a		
7	pooling order for the well number VC-550289 dated November the 11th, 2005?				
8	A	٨.	Yes.		
9	C	Q .	Does Equitable own drilling rights in the unit involved		
10	here?				
11	A	٨.	We do.		
12	C	Q .	Prior to filing the application, were efforts made to contact		
13	each of the respondents in the unit and an attempt made to work outmade to				
14	work out a voluntary lease agreement?				
15	A	٨.	Yes.		
16	C	Q .	And, I guess, we don't have any conflicting claims in this		
17	one either?				
18	A	٨.	No.		
19	C	Q .	So, what is the interest under lease to Equitable in both the		
20	gas estate and	d the coa	al estate in this unit?		
21	A	٨.	97.9799%		
22	C	Q .	And are all the unleased parties set out in Exhibit B-3?		
23	A	٦.	Yes.		

1	Q.	And you're familiar with the ownership of drilling rights of	
2	parties other than Equitable underlying this unit?		
3	A.	Yes.	
4	Q.	And what interest is that?	
5	A.	2.0201%.	
6	Q.	Okay. We don't have any conflicting claimants. We don't	
7	have any unknown ov	vners. Are the addresses set out in Exhibit B to the	
8	application the last kr	nown addresses for the respondents?	
9	A.	Yes.	
10	Q.	Are you requesting this Board to force pool all unleased	
11	interest as listed at E	xhibit B-3?	
12	A.	Yes.	
13	Q.	Are you familiar with the fair market value of drilling rights	
14	in the unit here and in the surrounding area?		
15	A.	Yes.	
16	Q.	Could you, again, advise the Board as to what those are?	
17	A.	We pay a five dollar bonus on a five year term with a one-	
18	eighth royalty.		
19	Q.	In your opinion, do the terms you just testified to represent	
20	the fair market value of, fair and reasonable compensation to be paid for drilling		
21	rights within this unit?		
22	A.	They do.	
23	JIM KAI	SER: Mr. Chairman, at this time, we'd again ask that the	
24			

- 1 election options afforded unleased parties and their time frames in which to make
- 2 those and the ramifications thereof, which was previously taken in docket number
- 3 05-1213-1549, be incorporated for purposes of this hearing.
- 4 <u>BENNY WAMPLER</u>: That will be incorporated.
- 5 Q. Mr. Hall, we have established...we do not need to...the
- 6 Board does not need to create an escrow account for this unit?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And who should be named operator under the force
- 9 pooling order?
- 10 A. Equitable Production Company.
- 11 Q. And what is the total depth of the proposed well?
- 12 A. 2387 feet.
- Q. Estimated reserves?
- 14 A. 200 million cubic feet.
- 15 Q. Has an AFE been reviewed, signed and submitted to the
- 16 Board?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. In your opinion, does it represent a reasonable estimate of
- 19 the well costs?
- A. It does.
- Q. Would you state for the Board both the dry hole costs and
- 22 completed well costs for this well?
- A. The dry hole costs is \$127,757. The completed well costs

1	is \$302,812.			
2		Q.	Do these costs anticipate a multiple completion?	
3		A.	They do.	
4		Q.	Does your AFE include a reasonable charge for	
5	supervision?			
6		A.	Yes.	
7		Q.	In your professional opinion, would the granting of this	
8	application be in the best interest of conservation, the prevention of waste and the			
9	protection of correlative rights?			
10		A.	Yes.	
11		JIM KAIS	SER: Nothing further of this witness at this time, Mr.	
12	Chairman.			
13		BOB WI	LSON: Mr. Chairman.	
14		BENNY	WAMPLER: Mr. Wilson.	
15		BOB WI	LSON: For the record, I'd like to acknowledge the receipt of	
16	a letter from Mr. Gary D. Ball relative to this pooling application. That letter, I			
17	believe, was included in the package that was sent to the Board members. Each			
18	of you should have that.			
19		BENNY	WAMPLER: Do you have that, Mr. Kaiser?	
20		JIM KAIS	SER: Yes.	
21		DON HA	LL: Uh-huh.	
22		JIM KAIS	SER: I was going to bring that up if you asked me if there	
23	was anything further. In case, you know, somebody did			
24				

1	BENNY WAMPLER: Do you want to address anything in here?
2	JIM KAISER: Mr. Ball is a guy that we have been working with for,
3	oh, gosh, probably ten or fifteen years, yet never been able to work anything out
4	with him to his satisfaction. We've force pooled him many times in the past.
5	Apparently, now, he's trying to sell his interest on eBay. I got on there and tried to
6	find it and couldn't.
7	JOSE SIMON: He said he got a \$11 bid.
8	JIM KAISER: Yeah. I mean, I don't quite understand his reasoning
9	there. I mean, I don't know why he didn't take that. In particular, he said he didn't
10	take it because they found out that we were going to drill a well. Well, whoever
11	was buying it would probably be pretty interested in that. They wouldn't get any
12	money unless we did drill a well. So, we've tried, tried and tried with this guy
13	forgosh, every since I've been doing this. He always writes letters and then he
14	never comes to the hearing. We force pool him and we either escrow him if he is
15	a conflicting claimant, or we pay him if he's not.
16	BENNY WAMPLER: Other questions from members of the Board?
17	(No audible response.)
18	BENNY WAMPLER: Do you have anything further?
19	JIM KAISER: No. We'd ask that the application be approved as
20	submitted, Mr. Chairman.
21	BENNY WAMPLER: Is there a motion?
22	JOSE SIMON AND JAMES McINTRYE: So moved.
23	PEGGY BARBAR: I'll second.
24	

_ -

1	<u>BENNY WAMPLER</u> . Monon is second. Any further discussion?
2	(No audible response.)
3	BENNY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
4	(All members signify by saying yes, but Donald Ratliff.)
5	BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
6	(No audible response.)
7	BENNY WAMPLER: You have approval.
8	DONALD RATLIFF: I'll abstain, Mr. Chairman.
9	BENNY WAMPLER: One abstention, Mr. Ratliff. The next item on
10	the agenda is a petition from Equitable Production Company for a well location
11	exception for proposed well V-536849, docket number VGOB-05-1213-1552.
12	We'd ask the parties that wish to address the Board in this matter to come forward
13	at this time.
14	JIM KAISER: Again, Mr. Chairman and Board members, Jim Kaiser
15	and Don Hall on behalf of Equitable Production Company.
16	BENNY WAMPLER: The record will show no others. You may
17	proceed.
18	
19	DON HALL
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	QUESTIONS BY MR. KAISER:
22	Q. Don, do your responsibilities with Equitable include the
23	land involved in this unit?
24	

2	Q.	Are you familiar with the application we filed seeking a
3	location exception for	•
4	А.	Yes.
5	Q.	Have all interested parties been notified as required by
6	, ,	rginia Gas and Oil Board Regulations?
7	A.	They have.
8	Q.	Could you indicate for the Board the ownership of the oil
9	and gas underlying th	is unit?
10	A.	Equitable owns a 100%.
11	Q.	Does Equitable have the right to operate the reciprocal
12	well or wells?	
13	A.	We do.
14	Q.	Okay. Are there any correlative rights issues?
15	A.	No.
16	Q.	Okay. Now, we don't have an exhibit, but I think you can
17	explain for the Board	why we're having to seek this exception.
18	A.	This exception is on the ForestUnited States Forest
19	Service. It's part of the	ne EIS that we've been working with for years. This is where
20	they chose for us to p	out the well.
21	Q.	So, it's a location that was picked by the Forest Service?
22	A.	That's correct.
23	Q.	Okay. In the event this location exception were not
24		

A.

1

Yes.

1	granted, would	d you pr	oject the estimated loss of reserves resulting in waste?
2	,	A.	350 million cubic feet.
3	(Q.	And what's the total depth of the proposed well under the
4	plan of develo	opment?	
5	,	A.	4257 feet.
6	(Q.	Are you requesting that this location exception cover
7	conventional (gas rese	rves to include the designated formations from the surface
8	to the total de	pth drille	ed?
9	,	A.	Yes.
10	(Q.	In your opinion, would the granting of this location
11	exception be i	in the be	est interest of preventing waste, protecting correlative rights
12	and maximizing	ng the re	covery of the gas reserves underlying the unit for V-
13	536849?		
14	,	A.	Yes.
15	2	JIM KAIS	SER: Nothing further of this witness at this time, Mr.
16	Chairman.		
17	<u>!</u>	BENNY '	WAMPLER: Questions from members of the Board?
18	((No audi	ble response.)
19	<u>!</u>	BENNY '	WAMPLER: Do you have anything further?
20	((No audi	ble response.)
21	<u>!</u>	BENNY '	WAMPLER: We'd ask that the application be approved as
22	submitted.		
23	<u>!</u>	<u>DONALI</u>	O RATLIFF: Move to approve it, Mr. Chairman.
24			

JIM McINTYRE: Second.
BENNY WAMPLER: Second. Any further discussion?
(No audible response.)
BENNY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
(All members signify by saying yes.)
BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
(No audible response.)
BENNY WAMPLER: You have approval. The next item on the
agenda is a petition from Equitable Production Company for a well location
exception for proposed well V-3V-536766, docket number VGOB-05-1213-1553.
We'd ask the parties that wish to address the Board in this matter to come
forward.
JIM KAISER: Again, Mr. Kaiser and Mr. Hall for Equitable
Production Company.
BENNY WAMPLER: The record will show no others. You may
proceed.
proceed.
proceed. DON HALL
DON HALL
DON HALL DIRECT EXAMINATION
DON HALL DIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. KAISER:

3	location exception for	well V-536766?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	Are all interested parties been notified as required by
6	section 4(B) of the Vir	ginia Gas and Oil Board Regulations?
7	A.	They have.
8	Q.	Could you indicate for the Board the ownership of the oil
9	and gas underlying th	is unit?
10	A.	Equitable owns a 100%.
11	Q.	And does Equitable have the right to operate the reciproca
12	wells?	
13	A.	We do.
14	Q.	And are there any correlative rights issues?
15	A.	No.
16	Q.	Okay. Now, in conjunction with the exhibit that you
17	prepared and just pas	sed out to the Board, can you explain to them why we need
18	this location exception	ነ?
19	A.	If you notice on the exhibit, the wells that are colored green
20	have a radius circle o	f 2500 feet around each, which is the spacingthe minimum
21	spacing from other we	ells. The one well that we're too close to is 535655. To get
22	a legal location from 5	5655, thethe wellor 6766 would have to be moved into
23	the area where you se	ee the red outline, sort of an L shape on the plat here. To
24		

Are you familiar with the application we filed seeking a

1

2

A.

Q.

Yes.

- 1 get a legal location, we'd have to move it down there. That area there has 45 to
- 2 50% slope and it's right above the creek and not a good place to put the well. So,
- 3 we chose to put it on top of the ridge there where it would create less
- 4 environmental problems.
- 5 JIM KAISER: Are there any questions on exhibit before I go
- 6 forward?
- 7 <u>DONALD RATLIFF</u>: Mr. Chairman.
- 8 <u>BENNY WAMPLER</u>: Mr. Ratliff.
- 9 DONALD RATLIFF: 5655 is proposed...that's not drilled? But that
- 10 has already been permitted and approved?
- 11 <u>DON HALL</u>: It's permitted. It has not been drilled.
- BENNY WAMPLER: Where is this area?
- DON HALL: It's ... it's over in Priest Fork. Over...do you know where
- 14 Sportman's Lake is? Priest Fork turns up right there. It's up on the mountain
- 15 above Priest Fork.
- 16 <u>BENNY WAMPLER</u>: Are we...are we getting enough information in
- 17 those areas to need to do any Field Rules or anything like that?
- 18 <u>DON HALL</u>: No, I don't...I don't think so. Not at this point.
- 19 BENNY WAMPLER: Any other questions from members of the
- 20 Board?
- 21 (No audible response.)
- BENNY WAMPLER: Do you have anything further?
- JIM KAISER: Yes, sir.

•

1	Q.	In the event the locationlocation exception were not
2	granted, would yo	ou project the estimated loss of reserves?
3	A.	200 million cubic feet.
4	Q.	And the total depth of the proposed well?
5	A.	6475 feet.
6	Q.	And you're requesting that this location exception cover
7	conventional gas	reserves to include designated formations from the surface to
8	the total depth dr	illed?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	In your opinion, would the granting of this location
11	exception be in the	ne best interest of preventing waste, correlative rights and, in
12	particular, maxim	izing the recovery of the gas reserves underlying the unit for V-
13	536766?	
14	A.	Yes.
15	<u>JIM</u>	KAISER: Nothing further of this witness at this time, Mr.
16	Chairman.	
17	<u>BE1</u>	NNY WAMPLER: Do you want that marked as Exhibit A or what
18	have you? What	are you?
19	<u>JIM</u>	KAISER: Well, let's see, I think welet's make it C.
20	<u>BE1</u>	NNY WAMPLER: Exhibit C, okay. Other questions from
21	members of the E	Board?
22	(No	audible response.)
23	<u>BE1</u>	NNY WAMPLER: Do you have anything further?
24		

1	JIM KAISER: Mr. Chairman, we'd ask that the application be
2	approved as submitted.
3	BENNY WAMPLER: Is there a motion?
4	JIM McINTYRE: So moved.
5	JOSE SIMON: Second.
6	BENNY WAMPLER: Motion is second. Any further discussion?
7	(No audible response.)
8	BENNY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
9	(All members signify by saying yes, but Donald Ratliff.)
10	BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
11	(No audible response.)
12	BENNY WAMPLER: You have approval.
13	DONALD RATLIFF: I'll abstain, Mr. Chairman.
14	BENNY WAMPLER: One abstention, Mr. Ratliff. The next item on
15	the agenda is a petition fromyes?
16	JIM KAISER: We're still waiting for our land witness. I thoughtI
17	told him to be here by 10:30 on those last two. Do you want me to go check with
18	George and see maybe if that number one is ready?
19	BENNY WAMPLER: You can
20	JIM KAISER: I've got anotherMr. Talkington is coming in. When
21	we have unleased parties other than EOG, we've been using him because he's
22	the one that's trying to lease those on those parties.
23	BENNY WAMPLER: Okay. And ifyou know, if your guys have
24	

1	solved the problem on the one that we continuedthat you didn't want to
2	continue, they can come in and tell us that.
3	JIM KAISER: Yeah. And I apologize if my behavior was bad.
4	BENNY WAMPLER: Well, you flared up a little bit.
5	JIM KAISER: Yeah.
6	BENNY WAMPLER: We can deal with you.
7	JIM KAISER: It has been a long morning already. Can we take a
8	short recess and we'll go see what we've got out here?
9	BENNY WAMPLER: Sure.
10	(Break.)
11	BENNY WAMPLER: You're going to update us on the discussions
12	you've had.
13	JIM KAISER: Mr. Chairman, we've had some discussions with both
14	the Turners andoh, gosh, what was the young lady's name?
15	GEORGE HEFLIN: Fleming.
16	BENNY WAMPLER: Ms. Fleming.
17	JOSE SIMON: Rebecca Woods.
18	JIM KAISER: Yeah, with Ms. Rebecca Woods.
19	JOSE SIMON: Fleming.
20	JIM KAISER: We'd like to at least go back on the record and see if
21	you agree with what we've talked about and what we've got worked out. In order
22	to do that, I'm going to need these two gentleman both to be sworn in also.
23	(George Heflin and Keith Wishoun are duly sworn.)

1	JIM KAISER: I guess George wasn't in here. Item number fourteen
2	was continued based on objections by Ms. Woods regarding whether or notwhat
3	happened to her potential delay rental payment from a lease that was some time
4	ago and has expired, based on our title work, because we were pooling her and
5	the other undivided interest in that tract as unleased parties. She had some
6	discussions in the hallway, while we were doing our other hearings, with Keith.
7	Keith, you can just tell me what you two resolved. She has left or we'd have her in
8	here.
9	KEITH WISHOUN: Yeah. She, basically just gave her new name,
10	Rebecca Fleming, and her address and told me to send her a new lease and, you
11	know, she would sign it and send it back.
12	JIM KAISER: And in the meantime, Don Hall or George, somebody
13	will check with the Division Order people, assuming it'sif it was that long ago,
14	assuming it's still somewhere on a computer or in a ledger book or something and
15	find out where that payment for that first least would have gone. But
16	apparentlyit probably went to a guardian. For whatever reason, she never got it.
17	BENNY WAMPLER: You need to provide her that information.
18	JIM KAISER: Yeah, provide her that information.
19	KEITH WISHOUN: If that lease was with us. It might have been
20	with Virginia Gas because I had some in that area.
21	JIM KAISER: Assuming the lease was with Equitable. He said it
22	could have been a Virginia Gas lease.
23	KEITH WISHOUN: Since it has been so long ago. I think I had a
24	

few more in that area like that.

JIM KAISER: They were Virginia Gas? 2 3 KEITH WISHOUN: I'm wanting to think so, but I might be wrong. 4 JIM KAISER: Anyway, we're try to get her all that information so she 5 can---. 6 BENNY WAMPLER: If you will, give Mr. Wilson a copy of that. 7 JIM KAISER: ---be rest assured on that. Okay, then the second 8 issue was Mr. and Mrs. Turner who own the surface on the drill site tract and then 9 do own some oil and gas on some of the non-drill site tracts, but it is leased to 10 Equitable. Their question was, you know, we got notice of this force pooling. We 11 don't really understand what's going on because, you know, you guys came out 12 and talked to us sometime ago and, you know, we didn't want to have a location in 13 a certain area because of some power lines and some other issues; and now we 14 get this and nobody has talked to us subsequent to that. Mr. Heflin, who handles 15 the permitting in Virginia for Equitable, has talked to them about that. I guess, I'll 16 let him talk about their discussion or maybe even ask Mr. and Mrs. Turner some 17 questions to try to clear that up. 18 GEORGE HEFLIN: Mr. and Mrs. Turner and I discussed the well 19 location itself, the access road and the pipeline. They were thinking that this 20 hearing was taking care of the issues on the surface damages. I've talked with 21 the Turners and we are going to sit down with them and make sure we get 22 everything worked out and explain to them everything on the site, pipeline, access 23 and, hopefully, get everything squared away to everybody's satisfaction.

1	SHARON PIGEON: What was your name, again?
2	GEORGE HEFLIN: George Heflin.
3	BENNY WAMPLER: Are you satisfied with that?
4	KENNETH TURNER: Satisfied.
5	JUDY TURNER: Yes.
6	BENNY WAMPLER: Well, we were continuewe were continuing it.
7	We agreed to rehear it today for your benefit. Mr. Kaiser, we could have made
8	your wait real easy.
9	(Laughs.)
10	JUDY TURNER: Well, I agree I can get my feathers ruffled real
11	quick.
12	BENNY WAMPLER: We don't need that. It's the Christmas season.
13	We'll get everybodywe'll get everybody's feathers smoothed a little bit before
14	we get out of here today, hopefully.
15	PEGGY BARBAR: Wait until January to ruffle them.
16	BENNY WAMPLER: Do you havedo you have anything further?
17	JIM KAISER: Based on that and, you knowwe wouldand the drill
18	site is a 100% leased, just to make sure, you know, that you know that. Based
19	upon Mr. Wishoun working on Ms. Woods' issue and getting her leased, we can
20	just dismiss herif she leases, we'll dismiss her in the supplemental order
21	process and then Mr. Heflin working with the Turners to work out any surface
22	issues and surface damages, we'd ask that the application go forward and be
23	approved as submitted.

1	BENNY WAMPLER: Subject to providing Mr. Wilson a copy of what
2	you find on Ms. Fleming.
3	JIM KAISER: Whatever we come up with on that old lease payment
4	yeah, or at least some sort of letter saying what we've done and what we found,
5	yeah.
6	BENNY WAMPLER: Is there a motion?
7	JOSE SIMON: Move to approve.
8	BENNY WAMPLER: Motion to approve.
9	JIM McINTYRE AND PEGGY BARBAR: Second.
10	BENNY WAMPLER: Any further discussion?
11	(No audible response.)
12	BENNY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
13	(All members signify by saying yes, but Donald Ratliff.)
14	BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
15	(No audible response.)
16	BENNY WAMPLER: You have approval.
17	DONALD RATLIFF: I abstain, Mr. Chairman.
18	BENNY WAMPLER: You have one abstention, Mr. Ratliff.
19	JIM KAISER: Thank you.
20	KENNETH TURNER: Thank you.
21	BENNY WAMPLER: Merry Christmas. Is your person here?
22	JIM KAISER: Let's go with Hard Rock's first.
23	BENNY WAMPLER: The next item on the agenda is a petition from
24	

1	Hard Rock Exploration, Inc. for pooling of conventional unit HRVAE #13. This is		
2	docket number VGOB-05-1213-1554. We'd ask the parties that wish to address		
3	the Board in this matter to come forward at this time.		
4	JIM KAISER: Mr. Chairman, in this instancewe have revised		
5	exhibits for everybody. Our original exhibits didn't add up to a 100%. Mr. Wilson		
6	was kind enough to point that out to me. We have revised B and B-3.		
7	(Jim Kaiser passes out revised exhibits.)		
8	JIM KAISER: It will be Jim Kaiser on behalf of Hard Rock		
9	Exploration. Our witnesses will be Mr. Jim Talkington and Mr. Jim Stephens.		
10	We'd ask that they be sworn at this time.		
11	(Jim Talkington and Jim Stephens are duly sworn.)		
12	BENNY WAMPLER: The record will show no others. You may		
13	proceed.		
14	JIM KAISER: Now, we'll start with Mr. Talkington.		
15			
16	JIM TALKINGTON		
17	having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:		
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
19	QUESTIONS BY MR. KAISER:		
20	Q. Mr. Talkington, can you tell us who you're employed by in		
21	this capacity and what you do?		
22	A. I'm the land agent for Hard Rock Exploration.		
23	Q. And do your responsibilities include the land involved in		
24			

1	this unit and in the surrounding area?		
2	A.	That's correct.	
3	Q.	And you're familiar with the application that Hard Rock filed	
4	seeking to establish the	he drilling unit and pool any unleased interest for HRVAE	
5	#13, which was dated November the 11th, 2005?		
6	A.	Yes, I am.	
7	Q.	And this is not a Pilgrim's Knob well. It is a statewide	
8	spacing well, correct?		
9	A.	That's correct.	
10	Q.	Okay. Now, does Hard Rock own drilling rights in the unit	
11	involved here?		
12	A.	Yesyes, they do.	
13	Q.	And prior to filing the application, were efforts made to	
14	contact each of the respondents and an attempt made to work out a voluntary		
15	lease agreement?		
16	A.	Yes, sir.	
17	Q.	And what is the interest under lease to Hard Rock within	
18	the unit at this time?		
19	A.	58.04%.	
20	Q.	And are you familiar with the ownership of drilling rights of	
21	parties other than Hard Rock underlying this unit?		
22	A.	Yes, sir, I am.	
23	Q.	And what is the percentage of the interest that remain	
24			

1	unleased at this time?		
2	A.	41.96%.	
3	Q.	Now, subsequent to the filing of the application in	
4	November, have you	continued to attempt to reach an agreement with any of the	
5	unleased respondents listed at B-3?		
6	A.	Yes, sir, I have.	
7	Q.	At this point, have you been successful in obtaining any	
8	additional leases?		
9	A.	Not at this point.	
10	Q.	Okay. So, all the unleased parties are set out at Exhibit B-	
11	3?		
12	A.	That's correct.	
13	Q.	All right. We don't have any unknown or unlocateable	
14	owners, is that correct?		
15	A.	This is 13that's correct.	
16	Q.	And are the addresses set out in our Exhibit B to the	
17	application the last known addresses for the respondents?		
18	A.	Yes, they are.	
19	Q.	Are you requesting this Board to force pool all unleased	
20	interest listed in Exhibit B-3?		
21	A.	Yes, I am.	
22	Q.	Now, are you familiar with the fair market value of drilling	
23	rights in the unit here and in the surrounding area?		
24			

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. And could you advise the Board as to what those are?
- A. A five dollar bonus, a five year term and a one-eighth
- 4 royalty.
- 5 Q. And do...in your opinion, do the terms you've testified to
- 6 represent the fair market value of and the fair and reasonable compensation to be
- 7 paid for drilling rights within this unit?
- 8 A. Yes, they do.
- 9 Q. Now, based on both the unleased interest and the interest
- 10 that are leased to other oil and gas entities, other oil and gas lessees, do you
- 11 recommend that they that they be allowed the following statutory
- 12 options with respect to their ownership interest: 1)
- 13 Participation; 2) a cash bonus of five dollars per net
- 14 mineral acre plus a one-eighth of eight-eighths royalty; or
- 15 3) in lieu of a cash bonus and one-eighth of eight-eights
- 16 royalty share in the operation of the well on a carried basis
- 17 as a carried operator under the following conditions: Such
- 18 carried operator shall be entitled to the share of production
- 19 from the tracts pooled accruing to his or her interest
- 20 exclusive of any royalty or overriding royalty reserved in
- 21 any leases, assignments thereof or agreements relating
- 22 thereto of such tracts, but only after the proceeds
- 23 applicable to that share equal, A) 300% of the share of such

- 1 costs applicable to the interest of the carried operator of a
- 2 leased tract or portion thereof; or B) 200% of the share of
- 3 such costs applicable to the interest of a carried operator
- 4 of an unleased tract or portion thereof?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you recommend that the order provide that
- 7 elections by the respondent be in writing and sent to the
- 8 applicant at Hard Rock Exploration, Inc., P. O. Box 13059,
- 9 Charleston, West Virginia 25360, Attention: Jim Stephens?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 O. And should this be the address for all
- 12 communications with the applicant concerning any force
- 13 pooling order?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Do you recommend that the order provide that
- 16 if no written elections was properly made by a respondent,
- 17 then such respondent should be deemed to have elected the
- 18 cash option in lieu of participation?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Should the unleased respondents be given 30
- 21 days from the date that they receive the recorded Board order
- 22 to file their written elections?
- 23 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. If an unleased respondent elects to
- $2\,$ participate, should they be given 45 days to pay for that
- 3 proportionate share of well costs?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Does the applicant expect that party
- 6 electing to participate to pay in advance that share of
- 7 actual completed well costs?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Should the applicant be allowed a 120 days
- 10 following the recordation date of the Board order and
- 11 thereafter annually on that date until production is
- 12 achieved, to pay or tender any cash bonus becoming due under
- 13 the force pooling order?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Do you recommend that any order provide that
- 16 if a respondent elects to participate but fails to pay their
- 17 proportionate share of well costs to the applicant, then
- 18 their election to participate should be treated as having
- 19 been withdrawn and void and that respondent should be deemed
- 20 to have leased?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Do you recommend that the order provide that

23 where a respondent elects to participate but defaults in

- 1 regard to payment of well costs, any cash sum becoming
- 2 payable to that respondent be paid within 60 days after the
- 3 last date on which that respondent could have paid their
- 4 applicable well costs?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. We've determined that the Board, in
- 7 this particular case, does not need to establish an escrow
- 8 account, is that right?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And who should be named operator under any
- 11 force pooling order?
- 12 A. Hard Rock Exploration, Inc.
- JIM KAISER: That's all I have of this witness at
- 14 this time, Mr. Chairman.
- 15 BENNY WAMPLER: Let me ask you a question. In your
- 16 application, it's Hard Rock Exploration, Inc. and Carter Oil
- 17 and Gas, Inc.
- JIM KAISER: Well, Jim could probably answer that
- 19 question. I mean, are they a partner?
- JIM STEPHENS: Yeah, they're a partner.
- 21 JIM KAISER: I don't know why we did that in this
- 22 case.
- 23 BENNY WAMPLER: I was just asking...since it was in

```
1 the application that way, whether or not you needed to modify
```

- 2 how it was---.
- JIM KAISER: Yeah, I don't think it's anywhere...we
- 4 don't mention Carter anywhere else in there do we?
- 5 BENNY WAMPLER: I didn't see it.
- JIM KAISER: Well, yeah...do you want me to send
- 7 you a corrected front page?
- 8 BENNY WAMPLER: However it needs to be done.
- 9 JIM KAISER: Yeah.
- 10 BENNY WAMPLER: I think...I think that needs to---.

- 12 JIM STEPHENS: That will be fine...just send a
- 13 corrected front page.
- JIM KAISER: I mean, you all are the operator and
- 15 applicant, right?
- JIM STEPHENS: Yes, sir.
- 17 JIM KAISER: And you're just a partner...an
- 18 investor, basically, right?
- 19 JIM STEPHENS: Yes.
- JIM KAISER: I'm sorry.
- 21 SHARON PIGEON: Go ahead and have him put that into
- 22 the record and then it will support your changed documents.
- JIM KAISER: Okay. When we call him, I ask him

- 1 that.
- 2 BENNY WAMPLER: Any other questions of this
- 3 witness?
- 4 (No audible response.)
- 5 BENNY WAMPLER: Call your next witness.
- 6 JIM STEPHENS
- 7 having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as
- 8 follows:
- 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 QUESTIONS BY MR. KAISER:
- 11 Q. Mr. Stephens, if you'd your name for the
- 12 Board, who you're employed by and in what capacity?
- 13 A. Jim Stephens. I'm employed by Hard Rock
- 14 Exploration, as Vice President.
- 15 Q. Now, before we get into the operational
- 16 questions, it has been pointed out by the Board that the
- 17 paragraph one of the application lists the applicant as Hard
- 18 Rock Exploration and Carter Oil and Gas, Inc. Could you
- 19 explain the relationship between the two and explain why
- 20 we're going to modify that page to just state Hard Rock
- 21 Exploration, Inc. as the applicant?
- 22 A. Hard Exploration and Carter Oil and Gas has
- 23 a business relationship. We are the operator on this

- -

```
1 property. They have...they invest up to 50% in each well.
```

- Q. Okay. But Hard Rock will be the actual...is
- 3 the actual applicant and would be named? We'd ask that they
- 4 be named the actual operator under the order?
- 5 A. That's...that's correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. All right. And what's your position
- 7 with Hard Rock?
- 8 A. Vice President.
- 9 Q. And what's the total depth of the proposed
- 10 well?
- 11 A. 6300 feet.
- 12 Q. And the estimated reserves for the unit?
- 13 A. 300 million cubic feet.
- 14 Q. Now, you're familiar with the AFE that has
- 15 been reviewed and signed by you and submitted to the Board as
- 16 Exhibit C?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. In your opinion, does it represent a
- 19 reasonable estimate of the well costs?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Could you state for the Board both the dry
- 22 hole costs and completed well costs for well 13?
- 23 A. The dry hole costs are \$206,422.50 and the

```
1 completed well costs are $443,313.
```

- Q. Do these costs anticipate a multiple
- 3 completion?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Does your AFE include a reasonable charge
- 6 for supervision?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. In your professional opinion, would the
- 9 granting of this application be in the best interest of
- 10 conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection of
- 11 correlative rights?
- 12 A. Yes.
- MR. KAISER: Nothing further at this time of this
- 14 witness, Mr. Chairman.
- 15 BENNY WAMPLER: Questions from members of the Board of this
- 16 witness?
- 17 (No audible response.)
- 18 <u>BENNY WAMPLER</u>: Do you have anything further?
- 19 JIM KAISER: We'd ask that the application be approved as
- 20 submitted with the deletion of the Carter Oil and Gas entry.
- 21 <u>DONALD RATLIFF</u>: Move to approve, Mr. Chairman.
- JIM McINTYRE: Second.
- 23 <u>BENNY WAMPLER</u>: Motion to approve and a second. Any further

1	discussion?
2	(No audible response.)
3	BENNY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
4	(All members signify by saying yes.)
5	BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
6	(No audible response.)
7	BENNY WAMPLER: You have approval. The next item on the
8	agenda is a petition from Hard Rock Exploration, Inc. for pooling of a conventional
9	unit HRVAE #14. This is docket number VGOB-05-1213-1555. We'd ask the
10	parties that wish to address the Board in this matter to come forward at this time.
11	JIM KAISER: Again, I have a new set of exhibits, B and B-3.
12	(Jim Kaiser passes out revised exhibits.)
13	BENNY WAMPLER: You have the same issue here, the correction
14	will be in the same manner, is that correct?
15	JIM KAISER: Yeah. We didn't havethe numbers didn't add up to
16	a 100%. Bad math.
17	BENNY WAMPLER: Also on the application?
18	JIM KAISER: Yeah. It has gotit also has Carter again on the front
19	page. So, again, we willI'll send you a corrected one there.
20	DONALD RATLIFF: Mr. Chairman, that's also on the signature
21	page.
22	MARY QUILLEN: Yes. On both of those.
23	JIM KAISER: Yeah, I've got a note to delete it anywhere in the
24	

1	application. I'll just send corrected originals to Mr. Wilson's attention.
2	BENNY WAMPLER: The record will show no others. You may
3	proceed.
4	JIM TALKINGTON
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	QUESTIONS BY MR. KAISER:
7	Q. Mr. Talkington, I'll remind you and Mr. Stephens that you're
8	under oath. If you'd, again, state your name for the Board, who you're employed
9	by and in what capacity?
10	A. Jim Talkington, land agent for Hard Rock Exploration.
11	Q. Do your responsibilities include the land involved in this
12	unit and in the surrounding area?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. Now, does Hard Rock own drilling rights in the unit
15	involved here?
16	A. Yes, they do.
17	Q. Prior to the filing of the application, were efforts made to
18	contact each of the respondents with an interest in the unit in regard to working
19	out a voluntary lease agreement?
20	A. Yes, they were.
21	Q. And what is the interest that is under lease to Hard Rock
22	within this unit?
23	A. 64.41%.
24	

1	Q.	And you're familiar with the ownership of drilling rights of
2	parties other Hard Ro	ock underlying this unit?
3	A.	Yes, I am.
4	Q.	And what percentage is unleased in this unit?
5	A.	35.59%.
6	Q.	Subsequent to the filing of the application, you've
7	continued to attempt	to reach an agreement with the unleased parties as listed at
8	Exhibit B-3?	
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	And you haven't required any additional leases yet, but
11	that is an ongoing pro	ocess?
12	A.	That's correct.
13	Q.	Okay. So, all the unleased parties are set out at Exhibit B-
14	3?	
15	A.	Yes, sir.
16	Q.	And, again, we don't have any unknown or unlocateable
17	entities, correct?	
18	A.	That's correct.
19	Q.	In your professional opinion, was due diligence exercised
20	to locate each of the	respondents named herein?
21	A.	Yes, sir.
22	Q.	And are the addresses set out in Exhibit B to the
23	application the last kr	nown addresses for the respondents?
24		

2	Q.	Are you requesting this Board to force pool all unleased
3	interest listed at Exhib	pit B-3?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	Again, are you familiar with the fair market value of drilling
6	rights in the unit here	and in the surrounding area?
7	A.	Yes, I am.
8	Q.	Could you advise the Board as to what those are?
9	A.	A five dollar bonus, a five year term and one-eighth royalty.
10	Q.	And did youin your opinion, do the terms you just testified
11	to represent the fair m	narket value of and the fair and reasonable compensation to
12	be paid for drilling right	nts within this unit?
13	A.	Yes, sir.
14	JIM KAI	SER: Mr. Chairman, I'd ask that the statutory election
15	options afforded any	unleased party, the testimony taken previously from docket
16	number 05-1213-1554	1, be incorporated for purposes of this hearing.
17	BENNY	WAMPLER: It will be incorporated.
18	Q.	Mr. Talkington, we've already established that an escrow
19	account does not nee	d to be created for this unit, is that correct?
20	A.	That's correct.
21	Q.	And who should be named the operator under the force
22	pooling order?	
23	A.	Hard Rock Exploration, Inc.
24		

Yes, they are.

A.

1	2	<u>JIM KAIS</u>	ER: Nothing further of this witness at this time, Mr.
2	Chairman.		
3	<u>!</u>	BENNY V	<u>VAMPLER</u> : Any questions from members of the Board?
4	((No audik	ole response.)
5	<u> </u>	BENNY V	VAMPLER: Call your next witness.
6			
7			JIM STEPHENS
8			DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	QUESTIONS	BY MR. I	KAISER:
10	(Q.	Mr. Stephens, again, state your name, who you're
11	employed by a	and in wh	nat capacity?
12	,	A.	Jim Stephen, Hard Rock Exploration, Inc. as Vice
13	President.		
14	(Q.	And this, again, is a statewide spacing well and not a
15	Pilgrims Knob	well, rigl	nt?
16	,	A.	That's correct.
17	(Q.	And, again, we are going to send a modified original
18	opinion to del	ete any r	eference to Carter Oil and Gas who is not going to be an
19	applicant or o	perator, j	ust as an investor with your company?
20	,	A.	Yes.
21	(Q.	What's the total depth of this well?
22	,	A.	6300.
23	(Q.	Estimated reserves?
24			
~ ~			116

1	A.	300 million.
2	Q.	And you're familiar with an AFE that you actually prepared,
3	signed and submit	ted to the Board yourself?
4	A.	Yesyes, I am.
5	Q.	In your opinion, it represents a reasonable estimate of the
6	well costs?	
7	A.	Yes, I am.
8	Q.	Could you state those for the Board?
9	A.	I do not have that sheet with me. The dry hole costs are
10	\$206,422.50. The	completed well costs are \$445,652.
11	Q.	And does your costs anticipate a multiple completion?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	Does your AFE include a reasonable charge for
14	supervision?	
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	In your professional opinion, would the granting of this
17	application be in the	ne best interest of conservation, the prevention of waste and the
18	protection of corre	lative rights?
19	A.	Yes.
20	JIM Ł	KAISER: Nothing further of this witness at this time, Mr.
21	Chairman.	
22	BEN	NY WAMPLER: Questions from members of the Board?
23	(No a	audible response.)
24		

1	BENNY WAMPLER: Do you have anything further?
2	JIM KAISER: We'd ask, Mr. Chairman, that the application be
3	approved as submitted with the cavot of submitting the modified paperwork
4	deleting Carter Oil and Gas.
5	DONALD RATLIFF: Motion to approve, Mr. Chairman.
6	PEGGY BARBAR: Second.
7	BENNY WAMPLER: Motion is second. Any further discussion?
8	(No audible response.)
9	BENNY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
10	(All members signify by saying yes.)
11	BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
12	(No audible response.)
13	BENNY WAMPLER: You have approval. We'll go back to number
14	one. A petition from Melvin Jack Long appealing the decision of the Director,
15	docket number VGOB-05-1018-1494.
16	JIM KAISER: I'll go and see where they are. They were getting
17	close, I think. That's what I heard anyway.
18	BENNY WAMPLER: All right. We'll take care of some other
19	business while you're doing that. The Board members received the minutes from
20	the last meeting. Any modifications or a motion to approve.
21	DONALD RATLIFF: Motion to approve as presented, Mr. Chairman
22	JOSE SIMON: Second.
23	BENNY WAMPLER: Motion is second. Any further discussion?
24	

~ -

1	(No audible response.)
2	BENNY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
3	(All members signify by saying yes.)
4	BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
5	(No audible response.)
6	BENNY WAMPLER: You have approval. We were notified of a
7	change in our escrow agent. I'll ask Mr. Wilson to address the Board with some
8	thoughts we had about that.
9	
10	
11	
12	BOB WILSON: We were notified last Friday afternoon late that the
13	branch or the office of Wachovia Bank, the Corporate Trust section of Wachovia
14	Bank that is currently handling our escrow account has been purchased by
15	American Stock Transfer and Trust Company. This is apparently a purchase of
16	that entire branch. We have we don't have really complete information about it
17	as of yet. The representative from the bank called to give us pretty much a
18	heads-up about the situation before we saw it in the newspaper or some place to
19	let us know that it was being sold and to guarantee us that there would be no
20	break in services or anything like that. The company that has purchased it,
21	American Stock Transfer and Trust Company, is apparently one of the largest
22	stock transferindependent stock transfer agencies in the country. According to
23	what we can find out, it's a very highly rated company. They deal pretty much in
24	

- 1 the same business that the trust section of the bank does in that they handle
- 2 employee stock ownership plans and stock transfers on acquisitions and this sort
- 3 of thing. Actually, it's on a...a bit of...on looking at it, it appears to be closer to the
- 4 operation of the bank than I initially thought it was. I have talked to a
- 5 representative of Wachovia who is in charge of government and institution
- 6 banking for this part of this country and asked him what his take on this was. He,
- 7 too, has very little information at this point in time. He sent me the company line,
- 8 which is what has been released internally, basically, stating that AST is a
- 9 fantastic company and they're going to keep all services going. I questioned the
- 10 situation with secondary deposit of the money. Right now we're dealing with
- 11 Wachovia. That money is in Wachovia. We have Wachovia's guarantees on
- 12 that. My concern was that with a secondary company there that they would be
- able to deposit these moneys in other institution that may or may not be as safe.
- 14 According to Mr. Dixon at Wachovia, that probably would not be the case. We
- would have the opportunity to control what's...how the money is deposited. This
- 16 company has a relationship with Wachovia. It uses it for lots of its banking.
- 17 There's probability that we could leave it there if we wanted to. We had thought
- about the possibility of...thought about the possibility of exploring the possibility of
- 19 using this particular situation to maybe change gears as to how we actually
- 20 handle the escrow account. Part of what I would like to propose to the Board
- 21 today is that we, as staff of the Board, explore the possibility of, number one,
- 22 extracting ourselves from the current contract, which I think we have the ability to
- 23 do. Secondly, the possibility of going to a situation whereby, rather than paying a

financial institution to manage the account, we would actually contract with a CPA 1 firm to handle the...the escrow account for us. Basically, that would give full latitude as to how the...how it was deposited. It would still have to be according to 3 4 the statutes in Virginia that protect public funds that are in the state's trust. But it 5 would allow us possibly to keep those moneys in local institutions as well as have possibly a greater degree of control and communication with the company who is 6 actually handling it. What I'd like to ask for today is...is your thoughts and, if you think that this is a feasible possibility, to give us your blessing on going out and 8 9 doing some exploring work. We would have to work with our office of general 10 services who basically keeps us straight as far as contracting and payments and 11 this sort of thing, purchasing acquisitions within the state. They...they would be 12 able to advise us as to what we could do with the existing contract and what kind 13 of contract that we could go into. But I would like to explore this possibility while 14 this is still fairly young. I don't think there is any reason, from my conversations 15 with Mr. Dixon at Wachovia and I've been able to find out otherwise, I don't think 16 that we are in any panic to have to do something right away. But I do think that 17 since the entity is changing, that we have...most state contracts have very liberal out clauses that allow the state to give notice and get out of contract for cause 18 19 shown. I think ours is structured that way. So, it's something we would like to 20 explore the possibility of changing gears at this point time. If not that, to going to 21 the system that I was telling you or suggesting, whereby we would hire a CPA firm 22 to look after it rather than paying those...right now we're paying \$5,000 a month to 23 the bank to handle this account. We figured we could get a significant deal with a

2	maybe. But we'd like tolike to have your thoughts on it and see if you want to
3	pursue this.
4	MARY QUILLEN: I agree. I think the funds should be kept locally in
5	this region.
6	BOB WILSON: I think this would give us more of an opportunity to
7	do that because we couldwe couldn't actually, I think, tailor our contracts to
8	require it that way rather than going to an institution, which we are now, if it turned
9	out to be a feasible approach. I think we could have more control over that.
10	BENNY WAMPLER: And you would just do the investigation and
11	come back to the Board and make a presentation at that point?
12	BOB WILSON: Absolutely.
13	BENNY WAMPLER: Determine what the Board's options would be?
14	BOB WILSON: Yes, absolutely.
15	BENNY WAMPLER: Is that reasonable?
16	BOB WILSON: Under the?
17	MARY QUILLEN: Yes, sir.
18	BOB WILSON: Excuse me. Under the contracting requirements of
19	the State of Virginia or the Commonwealth, there are provisions for doing
20	exploratory work so long as you don't violate the bid process or the process of

1 CPA firm underbid to...to handle this for us under...at the same price or better

24

23

circumstances that we have.

21

22

~ -

giving contracts and that sort of thing. That's what we're proposed to do here is to

find out, first of all, if the idea is even something that could fly under the

1	JOSE SIMON: How much do we have in escrow?
2	BOB WILSON: A bit over 12 million.
3	JOSE SIMON: I guess the only thought I would have is going from
4	somebody makes a living specifically in that area and is bonded and insured, etc.
5	etc. to maybe somebody that doesn'thasn't gone through all those traps and
6	doesn't have that experience. But I think that exploratory work is well worth it.
7	BOB WILSON: Yeah. That's something, I guess, we could consider
8	when we actually put things out for bid or for a request for proposals. If we got to
9	that point, we could put the restrictions on it for the licensing and bonding and
10	requirements and so forth.
11	BENNY WAMPLER: In fact, our TreasurerDepartment of Treasury
12	would require us to. They would stillthey would have to meet the standards of
13	banking bonding and etc. So, I don't know how many would be out there. That
14	will eliminate some for sure.
15	JOSE SIMON: Yeah.
16	MARY QUILLEN: Uh-huh.
17	BENNY WAMPLER: Okay. So, it sounds like you've got the go
18	ahead to do some exploratory work and come back to us.
19	BOB WILSON: We'll report back.
20	BENNY WAMPLER: Have you gentlemen reached an agreement?
21	JOHN JENKINS: (Indicates in the negative.)
22	BENNY WAMPLER: No agreement?
23	JOHN JENKINS: No.
24	

1	BENNY WAMPLER: Okay. All right. They're out there talking, I
2	guess.
3	JOHN MOSS: Mr. Chairman, if I may, I just have a question before
4	wehe heads back to Texas
5	BENNY WAMPLER: Sure.
6	JOHN MOSS:and I go back to Tazewell. Earlier in our hearing
7	you asked if we had parcel numbers.
8	MARY QUILLEN: Tracts.
9	PEGGY BARBAR: Tracts.
10	BENNY WAMPLER: Tracts.
11	JOHN MOSS: Tract number.
12	BENNY WAMPLER: That's how it's all set up
13	JOHN MOSS: Now, are you asking aboutI'm just trying to clarify,
14	tax map ID numbers or?
15	BENNY WAMPLER: Nowell
16	JOHN MOSS: Because Buchanan County doesn't use that system
17	like everybody else does.
18	(Sharon Pigeon and Benny Wampler confer.)
19	BENNY WAMPLER: What we'd have to havehe's asking us
20	tofor the poolings that we've already made. For us to modify those poolings.
21	JOHN MOSS: Yes, sir.
22	BENNY WAMPLER: That's what you'd have to go back to. Those
23	are the tracts we're talking about. Whichwhich pooling orders you're referring to
24	

1	and what specific Tract within the pooling order, to be specific about that.
2	JOHN MOSS: Okay. So, that's something that we could get from
3	the operator, basically.
4	BENNY WAMPLER: It should be on file at the Courthouse and at
5	Mr. Wilson's office, different places.
6	JOHN MOSS: All right. Thank you.
7	(Benny Wampler and Sharon Pigeon confer.)
8	BENNY WAMPLER: Okay, I just recalled, gentlemen, before you
9	came in. Mr. Kaiser was here when I did. I recalled the docket numbers. So,
10	we're back to number one on the agenda. I understand no agreement was
11	reached?
12	JIM KAISER: No, apparently not.
13	BENNY WAMPLER: So, we'll go forward with the case. Did we
14	have a previous swearing? We need to get you sworn in first.
15	(John Jenkins, Melvin Jack Long and George Heflin are duly sworn.
16	COURT REPORTER: Please state your names, please.
17	MELVIN JACK LONG: Melvin Jack Long.
18	JOHN JENKINS: John Jenkins.
19	BENNY WAMPLER: Okay. So, youyou filed, so you get to go
20	first.
21	MELVIN JACK LONG: Go aheadgo ahead, John.
22	
23	
24	

1 JOHN JENKINS: Mr. Chairman, we've...of course, we appreciate 2 you all being able to postpone this from the last time because of lack of 3 communication. We are objecting to this well site that Equitable Resources is 4 wanting to put on this proposed property. We had an informal hearing on this several months ago. The Director here, he made a decision that he didn't see no 5 reason they couldn't put the well where they wanted to put it and we object to that 6 decision that he made because we intend to build on that property. We let Equitable Resources know this on day one. The first day that they contacted us 8 9 originally that we was going to put a dwelling on this particular piece of property 10 when their contractor came out to the job site. When I met with him on behalf of 11 Mr. Melvin Jack Long and myself, I told him directly when he said this was the 12 proposed...where we're going to put this well. I said, we're going to build a house 13 on that property. His statement was, yeah, this is what I always hear when people 14 is wanting to...you know, said that's...we always hear that. I said, well, I'm a 15 contractor and I said, I'm building a place for Melvin. He's going to retire in this 16 area and he's going to...we're going to build him a retirement place here on this. 17 This is the highest and best...the highest part of his property that we own in that 18 area and he owns that on that side of the hill. I said, we're going to build him a 19 retirement home there. Also, we're going to build something for his children. 20 We're going to build some on other parts of that property to sell. So, they knew 21 full and well up front that we was wanting to build on that property. So, this was 22 the gist of our...from day one and it still is that we...that that's our objection to this 23 site. And we have...we have talked about alternative sites and every time that we

propose anything or alternatives, it's not feasible according to them and according 1 to the decision, the Director, he said he wasn't feasible. Now, we still don't agree with that. But we still are going to build on this site and this is the main reason 3 4 that we're here today, that we don't want this permit to go forward because this is a building location that we want to build on it. The impasse that we have in the 5 negotiation, which is not directly to do with you all one way or the other, but they 6 see one point of view on that and we see another. We have...we've worked on that every since we went out of here and we've came to an impasse on that. So, 8 we ask that this...that you all look at it from...ladies and gentlemen on this Board, 9 10 from the prospective of a private land owner versus the rights of a Equitable 11 Resources through a mineral deed of 1897 or '98. It says that our great-12 grandfather gave Equitable Resources or whoever they have signed that 13 particular mineral deed to, it happens to be in their hands now, the rights to mine 14 coal and other minerals. There's no gas mentioned on it period. We know the 15 stretches of law has brought this methane...coalbed methane gas well into life in 16 the '90s. But we are objecting simply the highest and best use of our land and we 17 will not be able to build there on that piece of property because it's...one person 18 owns one side of the knob and we own the other side. They have made a deal 19 with the other property owner prior to us having any knowledge that they was ever 20 going to be a well put in this vicinity. Also, I've got a line laid up on the other side 21 of the mountain to this property on adjacent to us. But the reason that they say 22 that we had...hadn't been notified is they didn't know how to get a hold of us. That 23 that was...when I had the contact with the Equitable contract out on the job site.

So, they went ahead with the permit anyway. So, we're here today asking you all 1 2 to not issue this permit. We're not objecting to them putting the well. We've asked them to put it in another location and everything. They say it's not feasible 3 4 for them. We feel it's not feasible for the very simply reason it would cost less for 5 them to put it there than it wold anywhere else on this particular grid they're talking about. So, we feel that we're in the rights as the property owner to have 6 our use of our land and we're in the mountain region where land is not...we don't have that many building locations to start with. Melvin is retired. He has lived out 8 9 of this area for some time and he's wanting to build on this location which has 10 been known for the general population for at least ten years that we was preparing 11 to do this when he retired. He's retired now and I know they will say that you all 12 have...the Director said that we hadn't made no preparations to do no building on 13 that site. When we found out what Equitable's intention was, that we wanted this 14 to go through the processes, that's why we appealed it the first appeal on this. 15 We could have went over there and tore the side of that mountain off and done 16 had the...far along with the project. But we've got some parts of it and other 17 locations that they're working on. But we feel to be fair with everybody, that we 18 wanted this go forward and let it to be heard through the proper channels. But we 19 do intend to build on this and we ask you all as a Board to consider the private 20 landowner's rights versus their rights to locate wells on our property. This is the 21 gist of the whole story. I know they have a different one altogether. But that's the 22 gist of what we're trying to prevent that well to go in that particular location.

24

23

BENNY WAMPLER: Mr. Kaiser?

1	JIM KAISER: I'd like to make an opening statement and then I'll
2	have Mr. Heflin address some of the issues. It's important to note that the
3	objection that we're here under today 45.1-36135.B4 is an interesting objection
4	available to the surface owner. It's interesting to me in that it's qualified two ways.
5	I'm going to read it to you. "Location of the coalbed methane well or coalbed
6	methane well pipeline will unreasonably infringe" and this is an objection they
7	can make, "will unreasonably infringe on the surface owner's use of the surface.
8	Qualification one: Provided that a reasonable alternative site is available within
9	the unit." That's what I'll have Mr. Heflin address and we've really been through
10	the ringer there. "And two, and granting the objection will not materially impair
11	any right contained in an agreement, an agreement being a deed or a lease, valid
12	at the time of the objection between the surface owners and the operator or their
13	predecessors or successors and interest." Well, here we have both a severance
14	deed and a lease that grants us, the operator, or granted our predecessors and
15	interest, the right to reasonable and necessary use of the surface to extract for the
16	enjoyment of the mineral estate.
17	So, that objection is qualified two ways. First of all, there has to
18	bein order for it to hold water there has bein order for it to hold water, there
19	has be a reasonable alternative site within the unit and/or, second of all, it cannot
20	materially impair any prior agreement, including a deed or lease that was in effect
21	at the time of the objection was made. Okay?
22	Now, the second thing I would like you to do is to, and I assume
23	everybody has, but if you take a close look at Mr. Wilson's order or decision in

- 1 this matter, we feel it's very...we had a very long hearing and the transcript was
- 2 forty or fifty pages. I think we clearly at least made a reasonable and good faith
- attempt to address all the concerns that Mr. Long and Mr. Jenkins have. I think
- 4 it's a well written and well reasoned decision. I don't know whether I need to read
- 5 into the record all my highlight...highlighted sections of it or whether or not
- 6 everybody has read it. That would be whatever you want me to do.

BENNY WAMPLER: That's up to you, however you want to the record to read.

9

10 JIM KAISER: Yeah, I think I might go ahead and read some of it into 11 the record on my opening statement. "The proposed operations for VC-536078 12 include the well site, temporary drilling pits and the gathering for pipeline. As 13 proposed, this site is directly adjacent to State Rt. 627 and requires no access 14 road construction. The well itself is to be positioned very near a surface owner's 15 property line such that the well site will be split in a linear fashion with half on the 16 surface owner's property and half on the adjoining tract. Other than the wellhead 17 connection, none of the pipeline will be placed on the surface owner's property. 18 The largest area of surface owner's land to be used for the proposed operations 19 will be for disposal of pit fluids. Neither the timber nor the land will be disturbed 20 during this process." Then it talks about...we talk about the prior agreements, 21 which is the second qualification to that objection that's available. 22 Reasonable...then I move down to, "Reasonable drill sites within the Virginia Gas 23 and Oil Board designated unit," you know, this is a coalbed methane well, so the

unit is set up in a grid, "to be served by the proposed operations are restricted by

2 topographic constraints in the presence of a major gas transmission line regulated

3 by the U.S. Department of Transportation." We got a location exception for this

4 because of those restrictions, dah, dah, dah. Testimony during the..."By

5 minimizing the use of surface owner's property while pursuing the legal right to

6 develop its mineral estate, the applicant appears to be within the bounds of what

7 would be considered reasonable and necessary use of the property. While all

8 adverse use of the property cold be considered in an infringement on its owner's

use, the surface owner failed to demonstrate that the well site placed on the very

10 edge of the property constitutes an unreasonable infringement. Because of this,

11 the lack of convincing testimony regarding interference with current or realistic

future land use and the fact that no specific alternative locations were discussed

at the hearing, the objection under sub-Section B(4) is denied."

We...then we continued it and then we had a long section this morning trying to work out, first, an alternative location, which I'll have Mr. Heflin address in his exhibit; and then we tried to work out, you know, some sort of compensation and then we couldn't work that out either. So, anyway, that's my opening statement. Then Mr. Heflin will pass out his exhibit to all the Board members and we can talk about our exhaustive efforts to find a reasonable and alternative location for this well. But, again...I mean, be aware that that's a very limited objection legally. It's qualified in two manners.

(George Heflin passes out exhibits.)

23

9

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

~ -

1	GEORGE HEFLIN
2	having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	QUESTIONS BY MR. KAISER:
5	Q. All right, George, if you'll just kind of go through everything
6	for them as to why we can't go here and we can't go there and where it is now.
7	Well, let me ask you first, who are you employed by and in what capacity?
8	A. Equitable Production Company. I'm landman for Equitable
9	Q. And what are your specific duties?
10	A. Working with contract landmen to secure damage
11	settlements, right-of-way, permitsoil and gas drilling permits.
12	Q. Are you kind of what I'd call the head guy for permitting in
13	Virginia?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. Now, if you will, in conjunction with this exhibit, explain the
16	first qualification, which is a reasonable alternative site.
17	A. Okay. Wein conversations with Mr. Long and Mr.
18	Jenkins, they asked if we could move the well location. As you can see, it's on the
19	property line between Lewis Smith and Melvin Jack Long. We looked to move the
20	location to the north and that area right there is a real steep bowl and it's not a
21	feasible site to put the location to get it off of him. They wanted us to move across
22	the road. There's a pond and barn and the East Tennessee Gas line and a field
23	that the people are using or had used for grazing and cattle. The drainage pattern

- 1 prevented us from putting a well there too in order to protect the pond. We looked
- 2 at the interior grid to the west of the gas line and the topo map looks pretty good
- 3 being flat. But if you put it in 3D form, it's pretty vertical and there's just no spot in
- 4 there to put it that we can comply with the State Regs. We also looked at bringing
- 5 the location back toward the south and there's a dwelling to the south and there's
- 6 also a little cemetery on the ridge. I just...myself, I went out and looked at it too
- 7 and I just didn't see any real feasible spot to put this well. If we could have gotten
- 8 it off Mr. Long's property, we would have and we tried to.
- 9 Q. So, it would be your testimony that if we don't drill it where
- it's proposed to be permitted and where we got a location exception for, then we
- won't be able to drill a well within that particular unit?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. And that would result in the waste of...in the lost of
- reserves of, what, 300 to 350 million cubic feet probably?
- 15 A. Probably.
- Q. I don't have that location exception in front of me, but that's
- on the record there. And you've personally been out here and walked that whole
- area trying to look for any other reasonable alternative site?
- 19 A. I've been out. I looked at a spot that Mr. Jenkins had
- 20 shown us at the last hearing when we postponed the hearing and it puts us out of
- 21 the exterior unit entirely into another grid where we already have a well location,
- 22 an existing production producing well location, a CBM. So, it was a good spot, but
- 23 it just wouldn't work because it was in a unit with another well.

1	BENNY WAMPLER: But not within this unit?
2	GEORGE HEFLIN: Correct. It was where thewhere the roads
3	fork. As you see where the road fork to the north, it was in that area there and
4	that would us out of the exterior unit into another grid.
5	BENNY WAMPLER: Other questions from members of the Board?
6	(No audible response.)
7	BENNY WAMPLER: Mr. Wilson, do you have anything?
8	BOB WILSON: I would only say, since Mr. Kaiser has read the
9	several points of the decisions that I've issued here, that my major concern was
10	not with feasibility of location. It was with the concept of unreasonable
11	infringement. Both parties testified at our informal hearing that they, obviously,
12	have a right to be there. Equitable has their rights through severance deeds and
13	such to usemake use of that land. I believe the passage that was read was
14	considered, "To make reasonable and necessary use of the property." Obviously,
15	the surface owners have their rights to use the property. SO, the concern that is
16	faced with under the statute is what constitutes unreasonable infringement. It was
17	my opinion, expressed in the order that you have here in front of you, that the
18	location of the well at the very fringes of the property did not constitute
19	unreasonable infringement. Infringement, yes. Any adversarial use of surface
20	property is an infringement. But the law requires that it be an unreasonable
21	infringement.
22	GEORGE HEFLIN: If you would, I'd like to pass down a 3Dlet
23	each one of you look at a 3D image.

1	:	JIM KAISER: It's a little look at the
2	<u>.</u>	GEORGE HEFLIN: It goes along with the topo map. Here's the 3D
3	just looking do	own as if you were in an airplane. Here's the same site. This is
4	what I was tal	king about. You can see what we have all inside the interior window
5	here.	
6	<u> </u>	MARY QUILLEN: Now, is this right here?
7	9	GEORGE HEFLIN: This is the well spot right here.
8	<u> </u>	MARY QUILLEN: And this isis this his boundary here?
9	9	GEORGE HEFLIN: That's the property line, yes, ma'am.
10	<u> </u>	MARY QUILLEN: That's his property line and it's right here?
11	9	GEORGE HEFLIN: Yes, ma'am.
12	<u> </u>	MARY QUILLEN: And then this is the Smith property up here?
13	9	GEORGE HEFLIN: Yes, ma'am.
14	<u> </u>	MARY QUILLEN:up above north of it?
15	9	GEORGE HEFLIN: Correct.
16	2	JOSE SIMON: It comes up
17	<u> </u>	MARY QUILLEN: Well
18	2	JOSE SIMON: That splits thisokay, that's where that road splits
19	right there.	
20	9	GEORGE HEFLIN: Yes.
21	3	JOSE SIMON: There's nothing down this way
22	or?	
23	9	GEORGE HEFLIN: Well, there'sthere's a cemetery in this area
24		

2	company did not want to do because of, one, the integrity of the cemetery and
3	two, maybe losing the location.
4	MARY QUILLEN: Is this on thedoes thiswould this wellwould
5	both property owners be involved in this since it's on?
6	GEORGE HEFLIN: In the surface disturbance?
7	MARY QUILLEN: Yes.
8	GEORGE HEFLIN: Yes, ma'am.
9	MARY QUILLEN: II just have one question. If it's on that property
10	line, how close was the property or were the sites where you planned to build from
11	the property line?
12	JOHN JENKINS: If I may, can I come over there and address this on
13	the map to you?
14	MARY QUILLEN: Sure.
15	JOHN JENKINS: Okay.
16	MARY QUILLEN: Since this is the proposed site and it's right on the
17	property line where?
18	JOHN JENKINS: Okay, this isthis is a high elevation area on all of
19	this property through here.
20	MARY QUILLEN: Uh-huh.
21	JOHN JENKINS: This is the highest elevation on our property here.

1 and we go down underneath of it...below it and that's something that we as a

24

22

23

JOHN JENKINS: The property lines do split through here. The

MARY QUILLEN: Uh-huh.

1	whole purpose in us building on this location for his retirement home is because it
2	is the highest and best view.
3	MARY QUILLEN: Right. But howhow close is this to this
4	boundary line where you're planning to build?
5	JOHN JENKINS: We're going tothe proposed well is here and
6	we're going to build adjacent to thewe wouldn't be up to his property line, but
7	their well site would be where we're wanting to build the house because they're
8	wanting to disturb this area around through here, you know, where they've got this
9	proposal. We're going to setwant to set the house on the side of this rim right
10	here on our side of the property so we can see across that valley and down
11	toward the highest and best use of it. So
12	MARY QUILLEN: Uh-huh. But how close is it to this?
13	JOHN JENKINS: To where the house site is?
14	MARY QUILLEN: Uh-huh.
15	JOHN JENKINS: Probably a hundred and maybe ten feet from the
16	property line would be the back of where the house would be sitting.
17	JOSE SIMON: You say you had a couple of other cabins you were
18	going to build?
19	JOHN JENKINS: And we're going toit's all around on the other
20	boundaries, which is off of this map here.
21	JOSE SIMON: Okay. That's why
22	MARY QUILLEN: So, that means
23	JOHN JENKINS: And let meand theyand we still object. There

- 1 is alternative sites to this. I will make this statement again, as I did out there and
- 2 I've did before. There are other proposals and I'm not an expert in this field. But I
- 3 do know why they want to use this site is because it's the easiest access to them
- 4 and the least amount of expense to them to get to. I understand that. I'm a
- 5 businessman. You want to do your operations where the least amount of money
- 6 is spent. That's a good way to do business. But it's interfering with what we are
- 7 wanting to do with the property. They say they have no alternative and I know
- 8 they do have. There's alternatives right down in here they can put that well, but
- 9 it's going---.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

10 <u>BENNY WAMPLER</u>: Is it within the unit, sir?

JOHN JENKINS: It is. And they could...and says, "How do we get a road there?" Well, they've got roads built all over them mountains where they've got wells down in these hollows. This well could be put in this grid system down here. They chose not to because they want to be up here. They could put the well right here on this property here next to this road right here. They chose not to do that. I can understand why it's not going to work over here along these pipelines, yes, I'm...and where this...where this pond is here. We suggested they even go below the pond down here in one of these low lying areas and put the well in. They say it's too steep. They've got wells all over the county in a lot worser places. They do have an alternative. This is a direct infringement upon our rights to build on that. We've got 44 acres there on that boundary and there's

24

23

about 60 acres of land there. But we've got one good location here to put a

decent dwelling where you would have a good view. The rest of it lays on an

- 1 incline over in here. It's not proper to build on. The next location we've got is on
- 2 another part, which is not...you can see here. And we do disagree that there is an
- 3 alternative to that. I'd like for the Board, if they could, to come out there and see
- 4 there's an alternative to that. It's just the highest and best use for them. It's
- 5 definitely not the highest and best use for us to let them take the places that he
- 6 had been planning for us putting him a retirement home in his later years to upset
- 7 this and set a well here when we can put his house here. He definitely does not
- 8 want to live adjacent to no well streaking within a 200 or 300 feet of his house.
- 9 BENNY WAMPLER: Well, let me...let me---.
- 10 MARY QUILLEN: When was this property---?
- 11 <u>BENNY WAMPLER</u>: Go ahead.
- 12 MARY QUILLEN: I'm sorry.
- 13 <u>BENNY WAMPLER</u>: Go ahead.
- 14 MARY QUILLEN: When was this property purchased?
- JOHN JENKINS: This has come down through our generations from
- the 1800s, this property has, all the way down through...passed through the family
- 17 down to---.
- MARY QUILLEN: So, it has always...it has been owned by the
- 19 family?
- 20 JOHN JENKINS: It's been family---.
- 21 <u>MELVIN JACK LONG</u>: By the Long family.
- JOHN JENKINS: ---since through the 1800s.
- 23 MARY QUILLEN: And who made the...the agreement with whoever

1	the company was that they acquiredwho did you acquire the agreement from?
2	GEORGE HEFLIN: Wizer Long is the original mineral owner.
3	MARY QUILLEN: And he is the one thatand who did he make the
4	agreement with?
5	GEORGE HEFLIN: That was with, I think, Virginia Iron Coal and
6	Coke Company, the original
7	JIM KAISER: Pine MountainPine Mountain Oil and Gas.
8	JOHN JENKINS: It wound up being Pittston Coal Company later.
9	MARY QUILLEN: Uh-huh.
10	GEORGE HEFLIN: Virginia/Tennessee/Carolina Steel and Iron
11	Company.
12	MARY QUILLEN: So, someone inin your family made this
13	agreement with this company that preceded their ownership?
14	JOHN JENKINS: Yeah, he madehe madeyes, he made the
15	agreement that they could extract coal out of there and other minerals, which
16	there was no such thing as coalbed methane back in the 1800s. We're still
17	inclined that this law was stretched out to make it easier to suck this gas off. It
18	was supposed to have been for safety purposes. That's neither here nor there as
19	to this issue. Our great-grandfather put a clause as tothere's only two deeds in
20	Dickenson County that has been put into, that there has to be something worked
21	out with the property owners. There's athere's a clause in thatat the end of
22	that mineral agreement thatthat they just can't come in and do what they want to
23	on that property. It's in there. You can read that in the mineral deed. Our

- attorneys has advised us that they have no rights whatsoever to be on that
- 2 property without agreements with us, regardless of what the mineral deed...they
- 3 interpret it to be, is that they've got no right to be there because they have not
- 4 made no agreement with us.
- 5 <u>BENNY WAMPLER</u>: Well, one thing I've got...I'll just tell you right
- 6 now. From what you submitted on August the 22nd, tells me that certainly at that
- 7 point in time, you basically said that, "The Supreme Court says that I don't have to
- 8 give you permission to do anything and what's reasonable to me is get it a 100
- 9 foot off my property and I give no permission for these sites to be on my property,
- 10 unreasonable infringements applicable to Equitable proceeding to place and
- construct on my property." The Virginia law in 1990 said that's not the case. I
- mean, you know, now whether or not that's ultimately upheld by the Supreme
- 13 Court of the United States or the Virginia Supreme Court even, I don't know. But
- 14 the Virginia law said, in order to...for this to take place, that you must...if you
- want...if you object...if they're taking your garden site or your house site and all
- that, and there was testimony to that effect, that you...you know, that there's to be
- 17 reasonable negotiations for another location on that site that is feasible to all
- parties. And I understand today, you all had discussion within this unit.
- 19 JOHN JENKINS: Sir, we've had discussions
- 20 from---.
- 21 <u>BENNY WAMPLER</u>: From day one, I understand.
- JOHN JENKINS: ---day one and told them that we was looking
- 23 for...we mentioned about alternative sites from day one when I met with their

- 1 contractor out there. In his arrogance, he said, "We will put that well there.
- 2 We've got lawyers. We'll do whatever we want to." That was the gist of what he
- 3 said. That's why we came back with something later, because of his arrogance.
- 4 We was willing to work with them from day one to get an alternative site. We told
- 5 them from day one that we wanted to build on that property and we've never
- 6 changed that from day one to this. Yes, we put that in there intentionally because
- 7 of his arrogance and the way he approached us with that. It might have not been,
- 8 but it was done by us as the property owner. So, we felt that we needed...we was
- 9 getting the raw end of this deal. We figured we needed to say something back
- 10 about it. There is alternatives to that. There is no question they can put that well
- within that boundary. It's just a matter they don't want to spend the extra money to
- 12 run the road and build the site. There is alternatives to it. When this is taken off
- 13 here, we will never...they keep saying you can build on this property here. Well,
- we definitely...nobody in their right mind is going to go ahead and build after a gas
- well is put there with them pumps on them in the middle of night. They keep them
- greased during the day, in the middle of the night you'd never live by one. Go out
- 17 there and hear them squeaking about 2:00 o'clock in the morning. You sure don't
- want to live by one, much less build a new house beside of it. That's why that
- 19 we...we would like to see them put their wells in, but also feel that our rights as a
- 20 property owner is infringing upon that location...that particular location where we
- 21 want to have his retirement dwelling. That's the whole gist of this.
- 22 BENNY WAMPLER: Okay. I think we understand the situation.

23 <u>JOHN JENKINS</u>: Thank you.

1	MARY QUILLEN: Thanks.
2	BENNY WAMPLER: Do you, Mr. Kaiser, have any final?
3	JIM KAISER: Yeah, again, I'd justI'll make a closing statement
4	and, again, direct the Board to the language in the objection.
5	(George Heflin and Jim Kaiser confer.)
6	JIM KAISER: I think Mr. Wampler seized on this in the
7	commentsChairman Wampler in the comments he just said. You know, it clearly
8	says, "This objection only carries today, provided that a reasonable alternative
9	site is available within the unit, agreeable to theobviously, agreeable to the
10	parties and" not or but "and granting the objection will not materially impair any
11	right contained in any agreement valid at the time of the objection between the
12	surface owner and the operator or their predecessors or successors in interest."
13	We have both of those qualifications here. We couldn't find, as hard as we tried,
14	a reasonable and alternative site and it would materially impair our rights under
15	both the severance deed and the oil and gas lease.
16	BENNY WAMPLER: Do you have that severance deed with you?
17	JIM KAISER: Yeah, George has it.
18	BENNY WAMPLER: Let me see the language, please. Is it the
19	typical language that we can come in and tear up anything we want to without any
20	obligations?
21	JOSE SIMON: That's just an abstract.
22	JIM KAISER: It's an abstract of the deed.
23	GEORGE HEFLIN: I've got the deed in here too. I can dig it out.
24	

1	But this is the breakdown of
2	BENNY WAMPLER: If you'll let our attorney look at it.
3	SHARON PIGEON: I'd like to look at the deed.
4	GEORGE HEFLIN: I've got the deed too. But here's the reservation
5	language.
6	JIM KAISER: But we're also operating under the lease and not just
7	the deed.
8	BENNY WAMPLER: Do you got the lease?
9	JIM KAISER: Do you have a copy of the lease?
10	(Jim Kaiser and George Heflin confer.)
11	GEORGE HEFLIN: Clinchfield has originally took a lease from
12	Standard Banner Coal Company, who is now the owner of the minerals, and then
13	we in turn leased everything that the Pittston Company had under one big lease.
14	JIM KAISER: What's the deed book and page number?
15	GEORGE HEFLIN: I've got it. It's the deed between Wizer Long
16	and Virginia Iron and Coal. I had that daggone thing.
17	SHARON PIGEON: Virginia/Tennessee/Carolina.
18	(George Heflin looks through his file.)
19	BENNY WAMPLER: Do you gentlemen have the deed?
20	GEORGE HEFLIN: Do youdo you have your file with you?
21	JIM KAISER: Bob, do you have the file? He thinks he gave it to you
22	during the hearing.
23	GEORGE HEFLIN: That might have been one of the things we gave
24	

- -

- 1 you during the hearing is a copy of the severance deed. I thought I had it in here.
- 2 <u>BOB WILSON</u>: We did...we did not get a copy of that during the
- 3 informal. We took...we took testimony where you stated that you had the right to
- 4 be there, but we did not get any documentation.
- 5 <u>GEORGE HEFLIN</u>: Here we go.
- 6 JIM KAISER: Here we go, he's got it.
- 7 GEORGE HEFLIN: Here you go. I know I had it.
- 8 <u>JIM KAISER</u>: It was the last thing in there.
- 9 SHARON PIGEON: Always.
- 10 GEORGE HEFLIN: Of course.
- 11 JIM KAISER: Is that it?
- GEORGE HEFLIN: I hope I can read it here. Let me see.
- 13 <u>JIM KAISER</u>: Somebody Long---.
- 14 GEORGE HEFLIN: Yeah, that's it.
- 15 JIM KAISER: Yeah, that's it.
- 16 GEORGE HEFLIN: That's it.
- 17 JIM KAISER: We're not saying we don't have to pay reasonable
- 18 compensation for any surface damage.
- 19 <u>SHARON PIGEON</u>: I need a magnifying glass.
- 20 GEORGE HEFLIN: See, that's why I went with the condensed
- 21 version.
- JIM KAISER: I mean, we're certainly not disputing that. We always

23 have to do that.

1	(Sharon Figeon reads the deed and conters with benny wampier.)
2	SHARON PIGEON: Mr. Jenkins, what provision were you referring
3	to that gives you the right to approve any transaction?
4	JOHN JENKINS: What did you say, hon?
5	SHARON PIGEON: You had made reference to some provision in
6	the severance deed that gave the heirs or the surface owners the right to approve
7	any location.
8	JOHN JENKINS: It didn'twell, in talking about approving a
9	locationdoes it show at the end of that severance deed what Mr. Long said there
10	at the end of it?
11	SHARON PIGEON: This is a pretty poor copy. I'm not sure it shows
12	what you're trying to tell me it shows.
13	JOHN JENKINS: Let me look and see. It may not be on that. It's
14	right on the very bottom of the last page of that. You may not have it.
15	BENNY WAMPLER: Well, let's look at the last page. It's signed by-
16	
17	SHARON PIGEON: There's signature on this page. So, it should be
18	the last
19	JOHN JENKINS: Let me see if I can find it on this one.
20	SHARON PIGEON: This is a very difficult document to read.
21	JOHN JENKINS: Kate, come down here.
22	(John Jenkins confers with a lady.)
23	JIM KAISER: It just says anybodyany materialsowner extracting
24	

1	minerals will be liable for damage to the surface. We know that. We don't have
2	any problem with that.
3	SHARON PIGEON: Well, that's not what I understood him to say.
4	JIM KAISER: Oh, I know.
5	(John Jenkins confers with a lady.)
6	BENNY WAMPLER: Can I put you on a spot?
7	BOB WILSON: Sure.
8	BENNY WAMPLER: I'm going to ask Mr. Wilson a question just on
9	behalf of the Board. In lookingI told him I was going to put him on a spot. In
10	lookingand it's basically second guessing you. In looking at topography and
11	looking at the area and everything that you've heard, do you believe there's a
12	reasonableother reasonable location on thatin that unit?
13	BOB WILSON: The key word in your question would be reasonable
14	
15	BENNY WAMPLER: Well, it's in the law.
16	BOB WILSON: I don't
17	BENNY WAMPLER: That's not my word.
18	SHARON PIGEON: A whole lot of their words.
19	BOB WILSON: It's an interpretable word. Butyou know, Iwe go
20	afrom the operator an application for a location exception to drill outside the
21	window at the same time the permit application was submitted. That application
22	cited the steep topography, it cited the presence of that high pressure pipeline,
23	which I'm always very interested in avoiding. I looked at it at that time and

- 1 concluded that that location exception should be granted because there were...the
- 2 other alternatives that were available in that location, according to what I could
- 3 see from the maps and the aerial photographs and the various things that I have
- 4 publically available to me, I have not been on the site, appeared to be...the
- 5 location available are in areas that are actively being used for agriculture
- 6 purposes. I think somebody mentioned the fact that there was a pond down there.
- 7 There is an open pasture across the highway from where they propose the
- 8 location. Beyond that, almost everything that would be even reasonably feasible,
- 9 involves that high pressure pipeline. That's an East Tennessee State Natural Gas
- 10 Transmission line. It's a high pressure line. It's regulated by the Corporation
- 11 Commission, the United States Department of Transportation. It's not one of our
- 12 pipelines. We always are encouraging our operators to go...to go to great length
- 13 to avoid any contact with these things. So, that was the reason that I used in
- 14 giving the exception. I don't find another easily attainable location on that...in
- 15 that...within that unit.
- 16 <u>BENNY WAMPLER</u>: That's what I'm talking about.
- 17 <u>BOB WILSON</u>: Yes. And there are various things that we consider
- when we get the...these requests for drilling outside the window. The topography
- 19 that we have to deal with is one of the main ones because we have, in many times
- 20 past, when accessing a proposed location, gone back to the operator and told
- 21 them they would have to take extraordinary measures there to keep those pits and
- 22 out slopes and things on the side of that hill or they had to move the location. We

23 rarely tell them they have to move it. But we condition it to the point that many

2 that we would look at very seriously if somebody proposed a location on it. 3 MARY QUILLEN: Much of Buchanan County is like that. 4 BOB WILSON: Oh, yes, Buchanan and Dickenson Counties both 5 are very, very much that way. I think that's why the ... well, I know that's why the Board gave me the authority to grant these locations outside the drilling window 6 was because even with the field rules as they are established, there are places where interior locations are just not available. 8 9 BENNY WAMPLER: Do you have anything to share with us? 10 SHARON PIGEON: I cannot, in all honesty, read this document...this deed document almost at all. It's...it is an extremely difficult copy 11 12 to read. It's not a good copy. Of course, it's a handwritten copy, as deeds of that era are normally found to be. Of what I can read, I do not see anything beyond 13 14 the normal provisions about compensation for damages and reservation of rights 15 for personal use of certain amount of coal or a certain amount of (inaudible.) I 16 cannot read most of this. So, I will be honest with you and say, whatever else that

times they have had to move the location because of us. This is the sort of terrain

BENNY WAMPLER: Any other questions from members of the Board of any of these parties that have testified?

might be in there, I simply cannot read this copy. If we had a better document,

perhaps I could, but I cannot. Based on what I can read and what I can see in the

abstract, I don't see anything unusual or remarkable in these documents. These

23 (No audible response.)

are the traditional severance documents.

24

17

18

19

20

~ -

1	BENNY WAMPLER: The question here is whether or not to uphold
2	or overturn Mr. Wilson's decision. Is there a motion?
3	JAMES McINTRYE: Motion to uphold.
4	BENNY WAMPLER: We have a motion to uphold Mr. Wilson's
5	decision. Is there a second?
6	PEGGY BARBAR: Second.
7	BENNY WAMPLER: Motion is seconded. Any further discussion?
8	(No audible response.)
9	BENNY WAMPLER: All in favor, signify by saying yes.
10	(James McIntyre and Peggy Barbar signify by saying yes.)
11	BENNY WAMPLER: Opposed, say no.
12	DONALD RATLIFF: I'll abstain, Mr. Chairman.
13	BENNY WAMPLER: One abstention, Mr. Ratliff. I guess without
14	hearing thatI didn't hear any nos.
15	PEGGY BARBAR: I didn't hear all yeses either.
16	BENNY WAMPLER: Do you want todo you want polldo you want
17	to just pollI'll ask you to poll the Board members?
18	COURT REPORTER: Ms. Quillen?
19	MARY QUILLEN: Yes.
20	COURT REPORTER: Mr. Simon?
21	JOSE SIMON: I think I'll abstain.
22	COURT REPORTER: Ms. Barbar?
23	PEGGY BARBAR: Yes.
24	

1	COURT REPORTER: And?
2	BENNY WAMPLER: Yes.
3	DONALD RATLIFF: I abstain.
4	JAMES McINTRYE: Yes.
5	BENNY WAMPLER: So, Mr. Wilson's decision is upheld. That is
6	appealable to the Circuit Court.
7	JOHN JENKINS: We'll be doing that. We don't agree with that.
8	You took away our rights to use our property when you agreed to that.
9	BENNY WAMPLER: Well, the General Assembly made some
10	decisions there that the Court will be able to
11	hear
12	JOHN JENKINS: You took away our rights when you voted to do
13	this. Our rights is to build our house there. We can't build it. There's no
14	individual group should be able to take the rights of a property owner away to
15	build on their property. That's what you've done. That's the reason we hadn't
16	started building. We wanted a precedent on this. Now, you all set it. So, we'll get
17	the guidelines going now. It's about time these laws were brought up to date.
18	This (inaudible).
19	BENNY WAMPLER: We understand. I'll just take this opportunity to
20	thank the Board members for your services here and Merry Christmas and Happy
21	New Year and a safe journey home. Thank you.
22	(Everyone says thank you.)
23	STATE OF VIRGINIA,

```
1 COUNTY OF BUCHANAN, to-wit:
             I, Sonya Michelle Brown, Court Reporter and Notary
3 Public for the State of Virginia, do hereby certify that the
4 foregoing hearing was recorded by me on a tape recording
5 machine and later transcribed under my supervision.
6
             Given under my hand and seal on this the 10th day
7 of January, 2006.
8
9
                                   NOTARY PUBLIC
10
   My commission expires: August 31, 2009.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```